American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER)	2019
American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER)	
E-ISSN: 2320-0847 p-ISSN: 2320-0936	
Volume-8, Iss	ue-3, pp-79-88
	www.ajer.org
Research Paper	Open Access

Bipolar Fuzzy Quasi Prime Ideals and Weakly Bipolar Fuzzy Quasi Prime Ideals in Left Almost Rings

Nita Diarningrum*, Noor Hidayat**, Abdul RoufAlghofari**

*Mathematics Master Study Program, Brawijaya University, Indonesia **Mathematics Department, Brawijaya University, Indonesia Corresponding Author: Nita Diarningrum

ABSTRACT : In this article we introduced bipolar fuzzy quasi prime and weakly bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideals of LA-rings and their properties. We find practical way to prove that a bipolar fuzzy left ideal is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal that is by observe their membership values. But we can do this if this bipolar fuzzy left ideal $B = (f_B^+, f_B^-)$ hold additional properties, such that if $\max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\} = f_B^+(x)$ then $\min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\} = f_B^-(x)$ or if $\max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\} = f_B^+(y)$ then $\min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\} = f_B^-(y)$. **KEYWORDS** LA-rings, bipolar fuzzy sets, bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideals, bipolar fuzzy weakly quasi prime ideals

Date of Submission: 20-02-2019 Date of acceptance: 08-03-2019

I. INTRODUCTION

A fuzzy set is a function from a non empty set X to [0,1][18]. Fuzzy ideal is one of the topics discussed in fuzzy theories. Many researchers have studied fuzzy ideals of various algebraic structures such as Liu in [6] that studied fuzzy ideals in rings and further, Mukherjee &Senin [10] studied fuzzy prime ideals in rings. Xie in [13] also studied fuzzy quasi prime ideals in semigroups, and Mandal in [8] studied fuzzy quasi ideals in ordered semirings.

The concepts of LA-rings have been introduced in 2006. Studies about ideals, prime ideals, bi-ideals, and quasi ideals in LA-rings have been conducted. In fuzzy theories, LA-rings also take part in the researchers' attention. Further, Yiarayongin [16] introduced fuzzy quasi prime ideals and weakly fuzzy quasi prime ideals of LA-rings.

A fuzzy set can be extended on its codomain. One of that is to be a bipolar fuzzy whose codomain expanded from [0,1] to [-1,1][19]. Some researchers have studied bipolar fuzzy ideals of various algebraic structures such as Majumder in [9] that studied bipolar fuzzy ideals in Γ -semigroups and Yaqoob in [14] that studied about bipolar fuzzy (ideals, bi-ideals, and interior ideal) in LA-semigroups. Further, Mahmood& Hayat in [7] introduced bipolar fuzzy ideals and bipolar fuzzy ideals by intrinsic product in hemirings, also Subbian&Kamaraj[12] introduced bipolar fuzzy ideals and bipolar fuzzy ideal extensions in subrings.

There is no study that discuss about bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideals in LA-rings. Hence we motivated to developed [16] into bipolar fuzzy. So in this paper we study bipolar fuzzy quasi prime and weakly bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideals in LA-rings.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section the basic definitions and theorems needed in study the bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideals and weakly bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideals in left almost rings are given.

Definition 2.1.[4]A grupoid (G, \cdot) is called an LA-semigroup (Left Almost-semigroup) (G, \cdot) if the left invertive law hold, such that $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = (c \cdot b) \cdot a$ for all a, b, $c \in G$.

Proposition 2.2.[4]If G is an LA-semigroup then the Medial law hold, such that $(a \cdot b)(c \cdot d) = (a \cdot c)(b \cdot d)$, for all a, b, c, $d \in G$



Proof: By the left invertive law we have

 $(a \cdot b)(c \cdot d) = ((c \cdot d) \cdot b) \cdot a = ((b \cdot d) \cdot c) \cdot a = (a \cdot c)(b \cdot d).$ **Proposition 2.3.**[15]IfG is an LA-semigroup with left identity then the Paramedial law hold, such that $(a \cdot b)(c \cdot d) = (d \cdot c)(b \cdot a)$, for all a, b, c, $d \in G$ **Proof:** By using the left invertive law and the property of left identity then we have $(a \cdot b)(c \cdot d) = ((e \cdot a) \cdot b)((e \cdot c) \cdot d)$ $= ((b \cdot a) \cdot e)((d \cdot c) \cdot e)$

 $= ((b \cdot a) \cdot e)((d \cdot c) \cdot e)$ $= (((d \cdot c) \cdot e) \cdot e) \cdot (b \cdot a)$ $= ((e \cdot e) \cdot (d \cdot c)) \cdot (b \cdot a)$ $= (d \cdot c)(b \cdot a)$

Definition 2.4.[4] in [3] An LA-semigroup(G, \cdot) is called an LA-group if these following properties hold

- 1. Has a left identity element e, such that $e \cdot a = afor all a \in G$,
- 2. For all $e \in G$ there is a' such that a' $\cdot a = e$.

Definition 2.5.[17] in [16] A non empty set R with two binary operations $(+,\cdot)$ is called an LA-ring if these following properties hold

- 1. (R, +) is an LA-group,
- 2. (R, \cdot) is an LA-semigroup, and
- 3. Distributive laws of \cdot over + hold, such that for all a, b, c \in R, (a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot cand a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c.

If $e \in R$ such that $e \cdot a = a$ for all $a \in R$ then R is called an LA-ring with left identity. A non empty subset of LA-ring R is called a Left Almost-subring (LA-subring) of R if it is an LA-ring under binary operation of R[16].

Definition 2.6.[11] in [16] If for all r element of LA-ring R and for any aelement of LA-subring S of R hold $ra \in S(ar \in S)$ then S is called left (right) ideal of R. Further, if S is left and right ideal of R then S is an ideal of R.

Definition 2.7.[11]in [16] A left ideal S is called quasi prime (weakly quasi prime) ideal of R if and only if $AB \subseteq P(\{0\} \neq AB \subseteq P)$ implies $A \subseteq P$ or $B \subseteq P$, for A, B ideals of R.

Definition 2.8.[19] A bipolar fuzzy set of LA-ring R is defined by $A = (f_A^+, f_A^-)$ with $f_A^+: R \to [0,1]$ and $f_A^-: R \to [-1,0]$.

An LA-ring Rcan be regarded as a bipolar fuzzy set by define $\Gamma = (R_{\Gamma}^+, R_{\Gamma}^-)$ with $R_{\Gamma}^+: R \to 1$ and $R_{\Gamma}^-: R \to -1$.

Definition 2.9.[2]LetN be a subset of LA-ring Rand $t' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$. $t'A_N = (t^+A_N, t^-A_N)$ as defined bellow is a bipolar fuzzy set of R

$$t^{+}A_{N}(x) = \begin{cases} t^{+}, & \text{if } x \in N\\ 0, & \text{if } otherwise \end{cases} \text{and} t^{-}A_{N}(x) = \begin{cases} t^{-}, & \text{if } x \in N\\ 0, & \text{if } otherwise \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.10.[16]Let β be an index and $\{A_{\alpha} = (f_{A_{\alpha}}^+, f_{A_{\alpha}}^-): \alpha \in \beta\}$ be a family of bipolar fuzzy subsets of R, then we have

$$\begin{split} &\bigcap_{\alpha\in\beta}f^-_{A_{\alpha}}(x)=\inf\{f^-_{A_{\alpha}}(x):\alpha\in\beta\}\\ &\bigcup_{\alpha\in\beta}f^+_{A_{\alpha}}(x)=\sup\{f^+_{A_{\alpha}}(x):\alpha\in\beta\} \end{split}$$

Definition 2.11.[1]The Cartesian product of bipolar fuzzy sets $A = (f_A^+, f_A^-)$ and $B = (f_B^+, f_B^-)$ of sets I and J respectively is defined as follows

$$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = \left((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), (\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{A}}^+ \times \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{B}}^+)(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), (\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{A}}^- \times \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{B}}^-)(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right)$$

with

$$(f_{A}^{+} \times f_{B}^{+})(x, y) = \min\{f_{A}^{+}(x), f_{B}^{+}(y)\} \text{and}(f_{A}^{-} \times f_{B}^{-})(x, y) = \max\{f_{A}^{-}(x), f_{B}^{-}(y)\}, \text{ for all}(x, y) \in I \times J.$$

Definisi 2.12.[14]The product of bipolar fuzzy sets $A = (f_A^+, f_A^-)$ and $B = (f_B^+, f_B^-)$ of a non empty set X is defined as follows

$$(AoB)(x) = ((f_A^+ o f_B^+)(x), (f_A^- o f_B^-)(x))$$

with

$$(f_A^+ o f_B^+)(x) = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{\substack{x = yz \\ 0, \\ x = yz \\ x = yz \\ x = yz \\ 0, \\ x = yz \\$$

Definition 2.13.[2]If a bipolar fuzzy set $A = (f_A^+, f_A^-)$ of an LA-ring R hold these properties

- 1. $f_A^+(x y) \ge \min\{f_A^+(x), f_A^+(y)\}$
- 2. $f_A^-(x y) \le \max\{f_A^-(x), f_A^-(y)\}$
- 3. $f_A^+(xy) \ge \min\{f_A^+(x), f_A^+(y)\}$
- 4. $f_{A}^{-}(xy) \le \max\{f_{A}^{-}(x), f_{A}^{-}(y)\}$

then it is called a bipolar fuzzy LA-subring of R.

Definition 2.14.[2]If a bipolar fuzzy set $A = (f_A^+, f_A^-)$ of an LA-ring R hold these properties

- 1. Bis a bipolar fuzzy LA-subring of R
- 2. $f_B^+(xy) \ge f_B^+(y) (f_B^+(xy) \ge f_B^+(x))$
- 3. $f_B^-(xy) \le f_B^-(y) (f_B^-(xy) \le f_B^-(x))$

then it is called a bipolar fuzzy left (right) ideal of R.

Definition 2.15.[2]If a bipolar fuzzy set $A = (f_A^+, f_A^-)$ of an LA-ring R hold these properties

- 1. Bis a bipolar fuzzy LA-subring of R
- 2. $f_B^+(xy) \ge \max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\}$
- 3. $f_{B}^{-}(xy) \le \min\{f_{B}^{-}(x), f_{B}^{-}(y)\}$

then it is called a bipolar fuzzy ideal of R.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we give the results of this study. We use the notation of R to abbreviate LA-ring.

Lemma 3.1 If $A = (f_A^+, f_A^-)$, $B = (g_B^+, g_B^-)$, $C = (h_C^+, h_C^-)$, and $D = (l_D^+, l_D^-)$ are bipolar fuzzy sets of R then these following properties are hold

1. (AoB)oC = (CoB)oA

2. Ao(BoC) = Bo(AoC)

Proof:

$$= \bigcup_{x=yz} \min \left\{ \left(\bigcup_{y=pq} \min\{f_{A}^{+}(p), g_{B}^{+}(q)\} \right), h_{C}^{+}(z) \right\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{x=(pq)z} \min\{f_{A}^{+}(p), g_{B}^{+}(q), h_{C}^{+}(z)\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{x=(zq)p} \min\{h_{C}^{+}(z), g_{B}^{+}(q), f_{A}^{+}(p)\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{x=wp} \min \left\{ \left(\bigcup_{w=zq} \min\{h_{C}^{+}(z), g_{B}^{+}(q)\} \right), f_{A}^{+}(p) \right\}$$

www.ajer.org

$$= \bigcup_{x=wp} \min\{(h_{C}^{+} og_{B}^{+})(w), f_{A}^{+}(p)\} = ((h_{C}^{+} og_{B}^{+}) of_{A}^{+})(x)$$

Hence $((f_{A}^{+} og_{B}^{+}) oh_{C}^{+})(x) = ((h_{C}^{+} og_{B}^{+}) of_{A}^{+})(x)$. And we have
 $((f_{A}^{-} og_{B}^{-}) oh_{C}^{-})(x) = \bigcap_{x=yz} \max\{(f_{A}^{-} og_{B}^{-})(y), h_{C}^{-}(z)\}$
 $= \bigcap_{x=yz} \max\{\left\{ \left(\bigcap_{y=pq} \max\{f_{A}^{-}(p), g_{B}^{-}(q)\}, h_{C}^{-}(z)\}\right\}$
 $= \bigcap_{x=(pq)z} \max\{\{f_{A}^{-}(p), g_{B}^{-}(q)\}, h_{C}^{-}(z)\}$
 $= \bigcap_{x=(zq)p} \max\{h_{C}^{-}(z), g_{B}^{-}(q), f_{A}^{-}(p)\}$
 $= \bigcap_{x=wp} \max\{\left(\left(\bigcap_{w=zq} \max\{h_{C}^{-}(z), g_{B}^{-}(q)\}\right), f_{A}^{-}(p)\}\right)$
 $= \bigcap_{x=wp} \max\{(h_{C}^{-} og_{B}^{-})(w), f_{A}^{-}(p)\} = ((h_{C}^{+} og_{B}^{-}) of_{A}^{-})(x)$

Hence $((f_A^- og_B^-)oh_C^-)(x) = ((h_C^- og_B^-)of_A^-)(x)$. If $y \neq pq$, for all $p, q \in R$, then $((f_A^+ og_B^+)oh_C^+)(x) = 0 = ((h_C^+ og_B^+)of_A^+)(x)$ and $((f_A^- og_B^-)oh_C^-)(x) = 0 = ((h_C^- og_B^-)of_A^-)(x)$. Hence for all $x \in R$, $((f_A^+ og_B^+)oh_C^+)(x) = ((h_C^+ og_B^+)of_A^+)(x)$ and $((f_A^- og_B^-)oh_C^-)(x) = ((h_C^- og_B^-)of_A^-)(x)$. Thus (AoB)oC = (CoB)oA. 2. It can be proved by the same way of point 1.

Corollary 3.2.LetBF(R) be the set of all bipolar fuzzy sets of R. Based on Lemma 3.1.(BF(R), o) hold the left invertive law, hence (BF(R), o) is an LA-semigroup.

Definition 3.3.Let $x \in \text{Rand t}' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$. Bipolar fuzzy point $x_{t'} = (x_{t^+}, x_{t^-})$ of R is a bipolar fuzzy set defined as follows

$$\mathbf{x}_{t^+}(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} t^+, & \text{if } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \\ 0, & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases} \text{and} \mathbf{x}_{t^-}(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} t^-, & \text{if } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \\ 0, & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$\mathbf{x}_{t^-} \in BifB(x) \ge t^- \text{ that is if } f_B^+(x) \ge t^+ \text{ and } f_B^-(x) \le t^-.$$

Proposition 3. 4. If $x_{t'}$ and $y_{t'}$ are bipolar fuzzy points of *R* as defined in Definition 3.3. then $x_{t'}oy_{t'} = ((xy)_{t^+}, (xy)_{t^-})$

Proof: Take any $z \in R$. If there are $x, y \in R$ such that z = xy, then

$$(x_{t}+oy_{t}+)(z) = \bigcup_{\substack{z=mn \ min\{x_{t}+(m), y_{t}+(n)\}}} min\{x_{t}+(m), y_{t}+(n)\}$$

= min{x_{t}+(x), y_{t}+(y)}
= min{t^+, t^+}
= t^+
= (xy)_{t}+(z).

and

$$(x_t - oy_t -)(z) = \bigcap_{\substack{z = mn \\ z = mn}} max\{x_t - (m), y_t - (n)\}$$

= $max\{x_t - (x), y_t - (y)\}$
= $max\{t^-, t^-\}$
= t^-
= $(xy)_t - (z)$.

If $z \neq xy$ for all $x, y \in R$, then $(x_t + oy_t +)(z) = 0 = (xy)_t + (z)$ and $(x_t - oy_r -)(z) = 0 = (xy)_t - (z)$. Hence for all $z \in R$, $(x_t + oy_r +)(z) = (xy)_t + (z)$ and $(x_t - oy_r -)(z) = (xy)_t - (z)$. Thus $x_t o y_r = ((xy)_t + (xy)_t -)$.

www.ajer.org

Lemma 3.5. Let *M* and *N* be non empty subsets of *R*. If $t'A_M$ and $t'A_N$ are bipolar fuzzy sets of *R* as in Definition 2.9. then these following statements are true

1.
$$t A_M ot A_N = t A_{MN}$$

2. $t A_M = (\bigcup_{a \in M} a_t^+, \bigcap_{a \in M} a_t^-)$
3. $Rot A_M = t A_{RM}, t A_M oR = t A_{MR}$

Proof: Take any
$$x \in R$$

1. If $x \in MN$ then there are $y \in M, z \in N$ such that $x = yz$, hence we have
 $(t^{+}A_{M}ot^{+}A_{N})(x) = \bigcup_{x=yz} min\{t^{+}A_{M}(y), t^{+}A_{N}(z)\}$
 $= min\{t^{+}, t^{+}\} = t^{+}A_{MN}(x)$
and
 $(t^{-}A_{M}ot^{-}A_{N})(x) = \bigcap_{x=yz} max\{t^{-}A_{M}(y), t^{-}A_{N}(z)\}$
 $= max\{t^{-}, t^{-}\} = t^{-}A_{MN}(x)$
if $x \notin MN$ then $x \neq yz$ for all $y \in M, z \in N$, so we have
 $(t^{+}A_{M}ot^{+}A_{N})(x) = \bigcup_{x=yz} min\{t^{+}A_{M}(y), t^{+}A_{N}(z)\}$
 $= min\{0,0\} = 0 = t^{+}A_{MN}(x)$
and
 $(t^{-}A_{M}ot^{-}A_{N})(x) = \bigcap_{x=yz} max\{t^{-}A_{M}(y), t^{-}A_{N}(z)\}$
 $= max\{0,0\} = 0 = t^{-}A_{MN}(x)$
Thus $t^{-}A_{M}ot^{-}A_{N} = t^{-}A_{MN}$.
2. If $x \in M$ then $\bigcup_{a \in M} a_{t}^{+}(x) = t^{+} = t^{+}A_{M}(x)$ and $\bigcap_{a \in M} a_{t}^{-}(x) = t^{-} = t^{-}A_{M}(x)$. If $x \notin M$ then
 $\bigcup_{a \in M} a_{t}^{+}(x) = 0 = t^{+}A_{M}(x)$ and $\bigcap_{a \in M} a_{t}^{-}(x) = 0 = t^{-}A_{M}(x)$. Thus $t^{-}A_{M} = (\bigcup_{a \in M} a_{t}^{+}, \bigcap_{a \in M} a_{t}^{-})$.
3. If $x \in RM$ then there are $y \in R, z \in M$ such that $x = yz$, so we have
 $(R^{+}ot^{+}A_{M})(x) = \bigcup_{x=yz} min\{R^{+}_{T}(y), t^{+}A_{M}(z)\}$
 $= max\{-1, t^{-}\} = t^{-} = t^{-}A_{RM}(x)$
and
 $(R^{-}ot^{-}A_{M})(x) = \bigcap_{x=yz} minR\{R^{-}_{T}(y), t^{-}A_{M}(z)\}$
 $= min\{1,0\} = 0 = t^{+}A_{RM}(x)$
and
 $(R^{-}ot^{-}A_{N})(x) = \bigcap_{x=yz} max\{R_{T}(y), t^{-}A_{M}(z)\}$
 $= min\{1,0\} = 0 = t^{-}A_{RM}(x)$

Hence for all $x \in R$, $(Rot'A_M)(x) = t'A_{RM}(x)$. By the same way, we can prove that $t'A_M oR = t'A_{MR}$.

Definition 3.6.Let $A = (f_A^+, f_A^-)$ and $B = (f_B^+, f_B^-)$ be bipolar fuzzy sets of R. $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $f_A^+ \subseteq f_B^+$ and $f_A^- \supseteq f_B^-$ that is $f_A^-(x) \le f_B^-(x)$ and $f_A^-(x) \ge f_B^-(x)$, for all $x \in R$.

Lemma 3.7. If *A* is a bipolar fuzzy LA-subring of *R* then *A* is a bipolar fuzzy left ideal of *R* if and only if $R_{\Gamma}^+ o f_A^+ \subseteq f_A^+$ and $R_{\Gamma}^- o f_A^- \supseteq f_A^-$.

Proof:

(⇒) Since *A* is a bipolar fuzzy left ideal of *R* then we have $f_A^+(yz) \ge f_A^+(z)$ and $f_A^-(yz) \le f_A^-(z)$. Take any $x \in R$, if there are *y*, zsuch that x = yz then

www.ajer.org

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{\Gamma}^{+}of_{A}^{+})(x) &= \bigcup_{\substack{x=yz\\ x=yz}} \min\{R_{\Gamma}^{+}(y), f_{A}^{+}(z)\} \\ &\leq \bigcup_{\substack{x=yz\\ x=yz}} \min\{1, f_{A}^{+}(yz)\} = \bigcup_{\substack{x=yz\\ x=yz}} \min\{1, f_{A}^{+}(x)\} = f_{A}^{+}(x) \\ (R_{\Gamma}^{-}of_{A}^{-})(x) &= \bigcap_{\substack{x=yz\\ x=yz}} \max\{R_{\Gamma}^{-}(y), f_{A}^{-}(z)\} \\ &\geq \bigcap_{\substack{x=yz\\ x=yz}} \max\{-1, f_{A}^{-}(yz)\} = \bigcap_{\substack{x=yz\\ x=yz}} \max\{-1, f_{A}^{-}(x)\} = f_{A}^{-}(x) \end{aligned}$$

If $x \neq yz$, for all $y, x \in R$, then $(R_{\Gamma}^+ o f_A^+)(x) = 0 \leq f_A^+(x)$ and $(R_{\Gamma}^- o f_A^-)(x) = 0 \geq f_A^-(x)$. Hence for all $x \in R, R_{\Gamma}^+ o f_A^+ \subseteq f_A^+$ and $R_{\Gamma}^- o f_A^- \supseteq f_A^-$. (\Leftarrow)Let yz = x then we have

$$f_{A}^{+}(yz) = f_{A}^{+}(x) \ge (R_{\Gamma}^{+}of_{A}^{+})(x) = \bigcup_{\substack{x=yz \\ x=yz}} min\{1, f_{A}^{+}(z)\} \ge f_{A}^{+}(z)$$

$$f_{A}^{-}(yz) = f_{A}^{-}(x) \le (R_{\Gamma}^{-}of_{A}^{-})(x) = \bigcap_{\substack{x=yz \\ x=yz}} max\{-1, f_{A}^{-}(z)\} \le f_{A}^{-}(z)$$

Since $f_{A}^{+}(yz) \ge f_{A}^{+}(z)$ and $f_{A}^{-}(yz) \le f_{A}^{-}(z)$, then A is a bipolar fuzzy left ideal of R.

Lemma 3.8. If $A = (f_A^+, f_A^-)$ is a bipolar fuzzy left ideal of R with left identity then RoA = A.

Proof: Based onLemma 3.7. we have $R_{\Gamma}^+ o f_A^+ \subseteq f_A^+$ and $R_{\Gamma}^- o f_A^- \supseteq f_A^-$. We will show that $f_A^+ \subseteq R_{\Gamma}^+ o f_A^+$ and $f_A^- \supseteq R_{\Gamma}^- o f_A^-$. Since for all $x \in R$, x = ex, then we have

$$Fof_{A}^{+}(x) = \bigcup_{x=yz} \min\{R_{\Gamma}^{+}(y), f_{A}^{+}(z)\} \ge \min\{R_{\Gamma}^{+}(e), f_{A}^{+}(x)\} = f_{A}^{+}(x)$$

and

$$(R_{\Gamma}^{-}of_{A}^{-})(x) = \bigcap_{\substack{x=yz\\ x=yz}} min\{R_{\Gamma}^{+}(y), f_{A}^{+}(z)\} \le min\{R_{\Gamma}^{-}(e), f_{A}^{-}(x)\} = f_{A}^{-}(x).$$

Thus $f_{A}^{+} \subseteq R_{\Gamma}^{+}of_{A}^{+}$ and $f_{A}^{-} \supseteq R_{\Gamma}^{-}of_{A}^{-}$. Since $R_{\Gamma}^{+}of_{A}^{+} \subseteq f_{A}^{+}, R_{\Gamma}^{-}of_{A}^{-} \supseteq f_{A}^{-}, f_{A}^{+} \subseteq R_{\Gamma}^{+}of_{A}^{+}, and f_{A}^{-} \supseteq R_{\Gamma}^{-}of_{A}^{-}$ then $R_{\Gamma}^{+}of_{A}^{+} = f_{A}^{+}$ and $R_{\Gamma}^{-}of_{A}^{-} = f_{A}^{-}$.

Definition 3.9. A bipolar fuzzy left ideal $B = (f_B^+, f_B^-)$ of R is called bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal if $t'A_M ot'A_N \subseteq B$ implies $t'A_M \subseteq B$ or $t'A_N \subseteq B$ for left ideals M, N of R and for all $t' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$.

Definition 3.10. A bipolar fuzzy left ideal $B = (f_B^+, f_B^-)$ of R is called weakly bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal if $0_{t'} \neq t'A_M ot'A_N \subseteq B$ implies $t'A_M \subseteq B$ or $t'A_N \subseteq B$ for left ideals M, N of R and for all $t' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$.

Theorem 3.11.Let $B = (f_B^+, f_B^-)$ be a bipolar fuzzy left ideal of *R* with left identity. These following statements are equivalent

1. B is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of R

 (R_{r}^{+})

- 2. For any $x, y \in R$ and $t' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$ such that $x_{t'}o(Roy_{t'}) \subseteq B$ implies $x_{t'} \in B$ or $y_{t'} \in B$.
- 3. For any $x, y \in R$ and $t' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$ such that $t'A_x ot'A_y \subseteq B$ implies $x_{t'} \in B$ or
- $y_{t'} \in B$.

4. If M, N are left ideals of R such that $t'A_M ot'A_N \subseteq B$ then $t'A_M \subseteq B$ or $t'A_N \subseteq B$.

Proof:

 $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ Let *B* be a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of *R*. For any $x, y \in R$ and $t' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$ if $x_{t'o}(Roy_{t'}) \subseteq B$ then

$$t A_{(xe)R} ot A_{(ye)R} = (t A_{(xe)} oR) o(t A_{(ye)} oR) (\text{Lemma 3.5.})$$

= $(t'A_{(xe)} ot'A_{(ye)}) o(RoR) (\text{Proposition 2.2.})$
= $((t'A_{(x)} ot'A_{(e)}) o(t'A_{(y)} ot'A_{(e)})) o(RoR) = ((t'A_{(x)} ot'A_{(y)}) o(t'A_{(e)} ot'A_{(e)})) o(RoR)$
= $((t'A_{(e)} ot'A_{(e)}) o(t'A_{(y)} ot'A_{(x)})) o(RoR) (\text{Proposition 2.3.})$

www.ajer.org

 $= \left(t'A_{(y)}o(t'A_{(e)}ot'A_{(x)})\right)o(RoR)$ (Lemma 3.1.)

 $= (t'A_{(y)}ot'A_{(ex)})o(RoR)$ $= (RoR)o(t'A_{(x)}ot'A_{(y)})$ $= Ro(t'A_{(x)}ot'A_{(y)})$ $= t'A_{(x)}o(Rot'A_{(y)})$ $= x_{t'} o(Roy_{t'}) \subseteq B$ Since *B* is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal, then we have $x_{t'} = t'A_{(x)} = t'A_{(ee)x} = t'A_{(xe)e} \subseteq t'A_{(xe)R} \subseteq B$ or $y_{t'} = t'A_{(y)} = t'A_{(ee)y} = t'A_{(ye)e} \subseteq t'A_{(ye)R} \subseteq B$. Thus $x_{t'} \in Bor y_{t'} \in B$. $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ Let $x, y \in R, t' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$. If $t'A_{(x)}ot'A_{(y)} \subseteq B$ then $x_{t'}o(Roy_{t'}) = t'A_{(x)}o(Rot'A_{(y)}) = Ro(t'A_{(x)}ot'A_{(y)}) \subseteq RoB = B$. Thus, by point 2 we have $x_{t'} \subseteq B$ or $y_{t'} \subseteq B$. $(\mathbf{3} \Rightarrow \mathbf{4})$ Assume that $t'A_M ot'A_N \subseteq B$ and $t'A_M \not\subseteq B$ then there is $x \in M$ such that $x_{t'} \notin B$. For any $y \in N$ we have $t'A_{(x)}ot'A_{(y)} = t'A_{(xy)} \subseteq t'A_{MN} = t'A_Mot'A_N \subseteq B$. Since $x_t' \notin B$ then by the hypothesis we have $y_{t'} \in B$. Further, based on Lemma 3.5.we have $t'A_N = \bigcup_{y \in N} y_{t'} \subseteq B$.

 $(4 \Rightarrow 1)$ Obviously from Definition3.9.

 $= \left(t'A_{(ee)}o(t'A_{(v)}ot'A_{(x)})\right)o(RoR)$

Theorem 3.12.Let $B = (f_B^+, f_B^-)$ be a bipolar fuzzy left ideal of R with left identity. These following statements are equivalent

B is a weakly bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of R 1.

For any $x, y \in R$ and $t' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$ such that $0_{t'} \neq x_{t'} o(Roy_{t'}) \subseteq B$ implies $x_{t'} \in B$ or 2. $y_{t'} \in B$.

For any $x, y \in R$ and $t' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$ such that $0_{t'} \neq t'A_x ot'A_y \subseteq B$ implies $x_{t'} \in B$ or 3. $y_{t'} \in B$.

If *M*, *N* are left ideals of *R* such that $0_{t'} \neq t'A_M ot'A_N \subseteq B$ then $t'A_M \subseteq B$ or $t'A_N \subseteq B$. 4.

Proof:

 $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ Let *B* be a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of *R*. For any $x, y \in R$ and $t' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$ if $0_{t'} \neq x_{t'} o(Roy_{t'}) \subseteq B$ then $t'A_{(xe)R}ot'A_{(ye)R} = (t'A_{(xe)}oR)o(t'A_{(ye)}oR)$ $= (t'A_{(xe)}ot'A_{(ye)})o(RoR)$ $= \left(\left(t'A_{(x)}ot'A_{(e)} \right) o\left(t'A_{(y)}ot'A_{(e)} \right) \right) o(RoR)$ $= \left(\left(t'A_{(x)}ot'A_{(y)} \right) o\left(t'A_{(e)}ot'A_{(e)} \right) \right) o(RoR)$ $= \left(\left(t'A_{(e)} o t'A_{(e)} \right) o \left(t'A_{(y)} o t'A_{(x)} \right) \right) o (RoR)$ $= \left(t'A_{(ee)}o(t'A_{(v)}ot'A_{(x)})\right)o(RoR)$ $= \left(t'A_{(y)}o(t'A_{(e)}ot'A_{(x)})\right)o(RoR)$ $= (t'A_{(y)}ot'A_{(ex)})o(RoR)$ $= (RoR)o(t'A_{(x)}ot'A_{(y)})$ $= Ro(t'A_{(x)}ot'A_{(y)})$ $= t'A_{(x)}o(Rot'A_{(y)})$ $= x_{t'} o(Roy_{t'}) \subseteq B$ Since *B* is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal, then we have $x_{t'} = t'A_{(x)} = t'A_{(ee)x} = t'A_{(xe)e} \subseteq t'A_{(xe)R} \subseteq Bor$ $y_{t'} = t'A_{(y)} = t'A_{(ee)y} = t'A_{(ye)e} \subseteq t'A_{(ye)R} \subseteq B$. Thus $x_{t'} \in B$ or $y_{t'} \in B$. $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ Let $x, y \in R, t' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$. If $0_{t'} \neq t'A_{(x)}ot'A_{(y)} \subseteq B$ then $0_{t'} \neq x_{t'}o(Roy_{t'}) = t'A_{(x)}o(Rot'A_{(y)}) = Ro(t'A_{(x)}ot'A_{(y)}) \subseteq RoB = B$. Thus, by point 2 we have $x_{t'} \subseteq Bor$ $y_{t'} \subseteq B$. $(\mathbf{3} \Rightarrow \mathbf{4})$ Assume that $0_{t'} \neq t'A_M ot'A_N \subseteq B$ and $t'A_M \not\subseteq B$ then there is $x \in M$ such that $x_{t'} \notin B$. For any

 $y \in N$ we have $t'A_{(x)}ot'A_{(y)} = t'A_{(xy)} \subseteq t'A_{MN} = t'A_Mot'A_N \subseteq B$. Since $x_{t'} \notin B$, then by the hypothesis we have $y_{t'} \in B$. Further, based on Lemma 3.5. we have $t'A_N = \bigcup_{y \in N} y_{t'} \subseteq B$. (4 \Rightarrow 1)Obviously from Definition3.10.

Corollary 3.13.Let $B = (f_B^+, f_B^-)$ be a bipolar fuzzy left ideal of *R* with left identity. These following statements are equivalent

- 1. Bis a quasi prime ideal of R.
- 2. For any $x, y \in R$ and $t' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$ such that $x_{t'} \circ y_{t'} \in B$, implies $x_{t'} \in B$ or $y_{t'} \in B$.

Proof: Obviously from Theorem3.11. (statement $1 \Rightarrow 3$ and $3 \Rightarrow 1$).

Corollary 3.14.Let $B = (f_B^+, f_B^-)$ be a bipolar fuzzy left ideal of *R* with left identity. These following statements are equivalent

1. Bis a weakly quasi prime ideal of *R*.

2. For any $x, y \in R$ and $t' = (t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$ such that $0_{t'} \neq x_{t'} o y_{t'} \in B$, implies $x_{t'} \in B$ or $y_{t'} \in B$.

Proof: Obviously from Theorem 3.12. (statement $1 \Rightarrow 3$ and $3 \Rightarrow 1$).

Theorem 3.15.Let R_1 , R_2 be LA-rings with left identity. A bipolar fuzzy left ideal $B = (f_B^+, f_B^-)$ is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of R_1 if and only if $B \times R_2$ is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of $R_1 \times R_2$.

Proof:

 (\Rightarrow) Let *B* be a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of R_1 . Let $(a, b), (c, d) \in R_1 \times R_2$ such that $(ac, bd)_{t'} = (a, b)_{t'}o(c, d)_{t'} \in B \times R_2$. We will show that $(a, b)_{t'} \in B \times R_2$ or $(c, d)_{t'} \in B \times R_2$. Notice that $(ac, bd)_{t'} \in B \times R_2 \Longrightarrow (f_B^+ \times \Gamma_{R_2}^+)(ac, bd) \ge t^+ \text{and} (f_B^- \times \Gamma_{R_2}^-)(ac, bd) \le t^-.$ Then we have $f_B^+(ac) = min\{f_B^+(ac), 1\} = min\{f_B^+(ac), \Gamma_{R_2}^+(bd)\} = (f_B^+ \times \Gamma_{R_2}^+)(ac, bd) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(ac) = max\{f_B^-(ac), -1\} = max\{f_B^-(ac), \Gamma_{R_2}^-(bd)\} = (f_B^- \times \Gamma_{R_2}^-)(ac, bd) \le t^-$. Hence $f_B^+(ac) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(ac) \le t^-$, thus $(ac)_{t'} = a_{t'}oc_{t'} \in B$. Since B is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal then $a_{t'} \in B$ or $c_{t'} \in B$. Thus $f_B^+(a) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(a) \le t^-$ or $f_B^+(c) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(c) \le t^-$. Notice that if $f_B^+(a) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(a) \le t^-$ then $(f_B^+ \times \Gamma_{R_2}^+)(a,b) = min\{f_B^+(a), \Gamma_{R_2}^+(b)\} \ge min\{t^+, 1\} = t^+ \text{and}$ $(f_B^- \times \Gamma_{R_2}^-)(a,b) = max\{f_B^-(a), \Gamma_{R_2}^-(b)\} \le max\{t^-, -1\} = t^-$. Thus $(f_B^+ \times \Gamma_{R_2}^+)(a,b) \ge t^+$ and $(f_B^- \times \Gamma_{R_2}^-)(a,b) \le t^-$ which implies $(a,b)_{t'} \in B \times R_2$. Or if $f_B^+(c) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(c) \le t^-$ then $(f_B^+ \times \Gamma_{R_2}^+)(c, d) = min\{f_B^+(c), \Gamma_{R_2}^+(d)\} \ge min\{t^+, 1\} = t^+ \text{and}$ $(f_B^- \times \Gamma_{R_2}^-)(c,d) = max \{ f_B^-(c), \Gamma_{R_2}^-(d) \} \le max \{ t^-, -1 \} = t^- . \text{ Thus } (f_B^+ \times \Gamma_{R_2}^+)(c,d) \ge t^+ \text{ and } t^- \}$ $(f_B^- \times \Gamma_{R_2}^-)(c, d) \le t^-$ which implies $(c, d)_{t'} \in B \times R_2$. Hence if $(a, b)_{t'}o(c, d)_{t'} \in B \times R_2$ then $(a, b)_{t'} \in B \times R_2$ or $(c, d)_{t'} \in B \times R_2$, thus from Corollary 3.13. we have $B \times R_2$ is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of $R_1 \times R_2$. (\Leftarrow) Let $B \times R_2$ be a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of $R_1 \times R_2$. Take any $b, d \in R_2$ and $a, c \in R_1 \ni (ac)_{t'} = a_t' o c_t' \in B$. We will show that $a_{t'} \in B \text{ or } c_t' \in B$. If $(ac)_{t'} \in B$ then $t^+ \leq f_B^+(ac)$ and $t^- \geq f_B^-(ac)$. Notice that $t^{+} \leq f_{B}^{+}(ac) = \min\{f_{B}^{+}(ac), 1\} = \min\{f_{B}^{+}(ac), \Gamma_{R_{2}}^{+}(bd)\} = (f_{B}^{+} \times \Gamma_{R_{2}}^{+})(ac, bd).$ Hence $(f_B^+ \times \Gamma_{R_2}^+)(ac, bd) \ge t^+$, and $t^{-} \ge f_{B}^{-}(ac) = max\{f_{B}^{-}(ac), -1\} = max\{f_{B}^{-}(ac), \Gamma_{R_{2}}^{-}(bd)\} = (f_{B}^{-} \times \Gamma_{R_{2}}^{-})(ac, bd).$ Hence $(f_B^- \times \Gamma_{R_2}^-)(ac, bd) \leq t^-$. Since $(f_B^+ \times \Gamma_{R_2}^+)(ac, bd) \ge t^+$ and $(f_B^- \times \Gamma_{R_2}^-)(ac, bd) \le t^-$ then $(ac, bd)_{t'} = (a, b)_{t'} o(c, d)_{t'} \in B \times R_2$. Since $B \times R_2$ is bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of $R_1 \times R_2$ then $(a, b)_{t'} \in B \times R_2$ or $(c, d)_{t'} \in B \times R_2$. Hence we have $(f_B^+ \times \Gamma_{R_2}^+)(a, b) \ge t^+$ and $(f_B^- \times \Gamma_{R_2}^-)(a, b) \le t^-$ or $(f_B^- \times \Gamma_{R_2}^-)(c, d) \ge t^+$ and $(f_B^- \times \Gamma_{R_2}^-)(c,d) \leq t^-.$ Notice that if $(a, b)_{t'} \in B \times R_2$ then we have $f_B^+(a) = min\{f_B^+(a), 1\} = min\{f_B^+(a), \Gamma_{R_2}^+(b)\} = (f_B^+ \times \Gamma_{R_2}^+)(a, b) \ge t^+ \text{and}$ $f_B^-(a) = max\{f_B^-(a), -1\} = max\{f_B^-(a), \Gamma_{R_2}^-(b)\} = (f_B^- \times \Gamma_{R_2}^-)(a, b) \le t^-.$ Since $f_B^+(a) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(a) \le t^-$, then $a_t \in B$.

www.ajer.org

Or if $(c, d)_t' \in B \times R_2$ then $f_B^+(c) = min\{f_B^+(c), 1\} = min\{f_B^+(c), \Gamma_{R_2}^+(d)\} = (f_B^+ \times \Gamma_{R_2}^+)(c, d) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(c) = max\{f_B^-(c), -1\} = max\{f_B^-(c), \Gamma_{R_2}^-(d)\} = (f_B^- \times \Gamma_{R_2}^-)(c, d) \le t^-$. Since $f_B^+(c) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(c) \le t^-$ then $c_t' \in B$. Since if $a_t'oc_t' \in B$ implies $a_t' \in Bor c_t' \in B$, then B is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of R_1 .

Corollary 3.16.Let R_1 and R_2 be LA-rings with left identity. Bipolar fuzzy left ideal $B = (f_B^+, f_B^-)$ is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of R_2 if and only if $R_1 \times B$ is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of $R_1 \times R_2$.

Proof: It can be proved analog to Theorem 3.15.

Theorem 3.17.Let *R* be an LA-*r*ing with left identity. If a bipolar fuzzy left ideal $B = (f_B^+, f_B^-)$ of *R* is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal then $max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\} = f_B^+(xy)$ and $min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\} = f_B^-(xy)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Proof: If *B* is a bipolar fuzzy ideal of *R* then we have $f_B^+(xy) \ge max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\}$ and $f_B^-(xy) \le min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\}$. Assume that $f_B^+(xy) > max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\}$ and $f_B^-(xy) < min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\}$ then there is $(t^+, t^-) \in (0,1] \times [-1,0)$ such that $f_B^+(x), f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\}$ and $f_B^-(xy) < t^- < min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\}$. Hence for all $x, y \in Rx_t' o(Roy_t') = Ro(x_t' oy_t') = Ro(xy_t') \in RoB \subseteq B$ (3.17.1) Since *B* is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal then it must implies $x_t' \in Bor y_t' \in B$. But if $x_t' \in B \text{ or } y_t' \in B$ then we have $f_B^+(x) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(x) \le t^-$ or $f_B^+(y) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(y) \le t^-$ which implies $max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\} \ge t^+$ and $min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\} \le t^-$. This is contradiction with 3.17.1, hence it must be $max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\} = f_B^+(xy)$ and $min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\} = f_B^-(xy)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Theorem 3.18.Let R be an LA-ring with left identity and B is a bipolar fuzzy ideal of R that hold these properties

1. If $max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\} = f_B^+(x)$ then $min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\} = f_B^-(x)$, or 2. If $max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\} = f_B^+(y)$ then $min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\} = f_B^-(y)$ B is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal if and only if $max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\} = f_B^+(xy)$ and $min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\} = f_B^-(xy)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) It is proved in Theorem 3.17.

(⇐) take any x_t', y_t' such that $x_t'o(Roy_t') \subseteq B$ then $Ro(x_t'oy_t') = Ro(xy_t') \subseteq B$ thus $B(xy) \ge t'$ that is $f_B^+(xy) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(xy) \le t^-$. Since $max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\} = f_B^+(xy)$ and $min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\} = f_B^-(xy)$ then $max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\} \ge t^+$ and $min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\} \le t^-$. Hence $f_B^+(x) \ge t^+$ or $f_B^+(y) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(x) \le t^-$ if $max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\} = f_B^+(x) \ge t^+$ then $min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\} = f_B^-(x) \le t^-$ hence $f_B^+(x) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(x) \le t^-$ which implies $x_t' \in B$. Or if $max\{f_B^+(x), f_B^+(y)\} = f_B^+(y) \ge t^+$ then $min\{f_B^-(x), f_B^-(y)\} \le t^-$ hence $f_B^+(y) \ge t^+$ and $f_B^-(y) \le t^-$ which implies $y_t' \in B$. Thus $x_t' o (R o y_t') \subseteq B$ implies $x_t' \in Bor y_t' \in B$. Hence based on Corollary 3.13. we have, B is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of R.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper we give the definitions and properties of bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideals and weakly bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideals of LA-rings. We find that a bipolar fuzzy left ideal of R_1 is quasi prime ideal if its product with R_2 is a bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideal of $R_1 \times R_2$. In the study of [16] stated that a practical way to prove that a fuzzy left ideal is a fuzzy quasi prime ideal is by observe their membership values. This way can be used in bipolar fuzzy if the bipolar fuzzy left ideal hold the properties mentioned in the last theorem. Further study can be conducted to identify that property and to obtain others properties of bipolar fuzzy quasi prime ideals in LA-rings or in the others algebraic structures.

REFERENCES

- Anitha, M.S., Prasad, K. L. M. and Arjunan, K.: Notes On Bipolar-Valued Fuzzy Subgroups Of A Group. The Bulletin of Society for Mathematical Services and Standards 7, 40-45 (2013).
- [2]. Diarningrum, N.,Hidayat, N.and Alghofari, A.R.: Bipolar Fuzzy Ideals and Prime Ideals in Left Almost Ring.International Journalof Advances in Mathematics(under review processed)(2019).
- [3]. Gatekem, T.: Some Application of Prime Ideals of AG-Rings. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 97, 195-200 (2014).
- [4]. Kamran, M.S.: Conditions for LA-semigroup to Resemble Associative Structures. PhD thesis, Quaid-i-Azam University (1993).
- [5]. Kazim, M.A. and Naseeruddin, MD.:On Almost Semigroups. PortugaliaeMathematica 36, 41-17 (1977).
- [6]. Liu, W. J.: Fuzzy Invariant Subgroups and Fuzzy Ideals. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 8, 133-139 (1982).

- [7]. Mahmood, T. and Hayat, K.: Characterizations of Hemi-Rings by their Bipolar-Valued Fuzzy h-Ideals. Inf. Sci. Lett 4, 51-59 (2015).
- [8]. Mandal, D.: Fuzzy Bi-ideals and Quasi-Ideals in Ordered Semirings.Gulf Journal of Mathematics 2, 60-67 (2014).
- [9]. Majumder, S. K.: Bipolar Valued Fuzzy Sets in Γ-Semigroups.MathematicaAeterna2, 203-213(2012).
- [10]. Mukherjee, T.K. and Sen, M.K.:On Fuzzy Ideals of Ring I. Fuzzy set and Systems 21, 99-104 (1987).
- [11]. Rehman, I.:On Generalized Commutative Ring and Related Structures. Ph.D. Thesis, Quaid-i-azam University (2012).
- [12]. Subbian, S. P. andKamarajt, M.:Bipolar-Valued Fuzzy Ideals of Ring and Bipolar-Valued Fuzzy Ideal Extensions in Subrings. International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology 61, 155-163 (2018).
- [13]. Xie, X-Y.: On Prime, Quasi-Prime, Weakly Quasi-Prime Fuzzy Left Ideals of Semigroups. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 123, 239-249 (2001).
- [14]. Yaqoob, N.: Bipolar-Valued Fuzzy Ideals in LA-semigroups. Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology 3,60-71 (2012).
- [15]. Yaqoob, N., Chinram, R., Ghareeb, A.andAslam, M.: Left Almost Semigroups Characterized by Their Interval Valued Fuzzy Ideals.Afr. Mat 24, 231-245 (2013).
- [16]. Yiarayong, P.:On Fuzzy Quasi Prime and Weakly Fuzzy Quasi Prime Ideals in Left Almost Rings. International Journal of Innovative Computing, Information and Control 13, 1549-1561(2017).
- [17]. Yusuf, S. M.: On left Almost Ring. Proc of 7th International Pure Math. Conference (2006).
- [18]. Zadeh, L.A.:Fuzzy Sets. Information and control 8, 338-353(1965).
- [19]. Zhang, W. R.:Bipolar Fuzzy Sets.Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers 835-840 (1998).

Nita Diarningrum" Bipolar Fuzzy Quasi Prime Ideals and Weakly Bipolar Fuzzy Quasi Prime Ideals in Left Almost Rings"American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER), vol.8, no.03, 2019, pp.79-88

www.ajer.org