American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER) 2021 **American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER)** e-ISSN: 2320-0847 p-ISSN : 2320-0936 Volume-10, Issue-01, pp-307-315 www.ajer.org **Research Paper Open Access**

Redesign and Construction of Corrosion Cell for Improved Measurement of Corrosion Under Insulation (CUI)

Gabriel ESSIEN¹ and Anne NEVILLE²

¹FacilitiesMaintenance,NigerianPetroleumDevelopmentCompanyLimited ²SchoolofMechanicalEngineering,UniversityofLeeds

ABSTRACT

Thermal insulation materials are used to insulate process equipment in petroleum and chemical industries usually with the objective to conserve heat for process control, reduceenergy costs and protect personnel against noise, heat and cold burns. The ingress of waterintoinsulation results in severe and hidden corrosion under insulation material. This paper reports on construction of a cell for laboratory investigation of corrosion the under insulation(CUI). Thenewcell facilitated the recording of Open Circuit Potential (OCP) and corrosionratemeasurementforcarbonsteelinsulatedwithmineralwool. Thetestsample showed low

corrosion rates with predominance of corrosion spikes at high temperature $(60\pm 2^{\circ}C)$. Post*testinspectionofthecorrodedsamplesurfaceindicatedthepresenceof* uniformandlocalized corrosionespecially at the top part of carbon steels ample.

KEYWORDS: Corrosion Under Insulation, Corrosion Cell, OCP, Corrosion Measurement

Date of Submission: 10-01-2021

Date of acceptance: 25-01-2021

INTRODUCTION I.

The major material used for construction of facilities in the oil and gas industry is carbon steel because of its low cost, availability and desired mechanical properties [1]. The corrosion of oil facilities isinevitablebecauseofthesevereoperating conditions and aggressive environment, which characterize the operations in the oil and gas industry [2]. These operating conditions are predefined to enable the processing, transportation or storageof hydrocarbons [3]. To maintain these operating conditions, the process facilities especially piping are insulated to reduce the heat losses between these facilities and the surrounding. process These insulated facilities undergo corrosion when there is water ingress into the insulation material. CUI accounts for more than 40% of pipeline maintenance costs in typical process а industry [4,5]. CUI is the external corrosion of piping and vessels made from carbon steels and austenitic stainless steels that occurs underneath external insulation due to water penetration [6]. The corrosion rate for CUI has been reported to be 1.5 to 3.0 mm/year at 60-120 °C operating temperature [10]. The rate of corrosion is affected by oxygen, temperature, insulation contaminants (chlorides/sulphates), water permeability, wettability and retention[7].

CUI can occur at any temperature that allowsmoistureaccumulationonsurfaces of the insulated facilities. the advancementinresearchanddevelopment In spite of of CUI inspection and control methods, CUI stillremainsachallengingproblemintheoil industry because of its unseen nature, delayed consequence and the culture of being ignored by inspection/management teams[8].

This paper is focused on the design, construction and implementation of a corrosion cell proposed based method for investigation of CUI. The design is the on providedbyASTMG189forinvestigationof CUI [9]. The corrosion measurement test wasconductedforcarbonsteelcoupons

that are insulated with mineral wool. The test conditions were chosen to simulate a typicaloilfieldmarineenvironment. Thenew cell provides thermodynamic and kinetic data that enable the study of mechanisms that drive the initiation of CUI. The understanding of these mechanisms and processes enhances the prediction and protectionoilandgasfacilitiesagainstCUI.

II. MATERIALSAND METHODS

PriortoredesignandconstructionoftheCUI cell, atechnical review of the ASTM189CUI test rig was carried out. This CUI test rig consistoftwocellsconnectedinseriesand asolutionreservoirprovided forwetting the insulation material. One of the two cells serves as the for comparison while the other used for the actual test control is measurementasshowninFigure1.TheCUI cell heater. also provided with was а temperature controller and thermocoupleto allow for temperature variation and measurement duringtesting.



Figure 1: Schematic showing electrodes with electrical connections for CUI cell [11].

However, the simultaneous testing with the two cells may extend the error marginduring measurement since both cells will be affected by error at the same time. Another important feature of the present CUI cell is the use of carbon steel sample material as the reference and counterelectrodes.

electrochemical measurement This compromises stability. TheCUI cell arrangement is expected vary to the reference polarization measurements because it contradicts the potential and non-oxidation criteria for selection freference and counter electrodes[17]. (i) From Figure 1, the carbon steel reference and counter electrodes were replaced by

Silver/Silver Chloride (Ag/AgCl) standard reference electrode (Figure 2) for improved measurement of reference potential and corrosionrates.

(*ii*) The new constructed CUI cell is made of a single cell to ensure portability, simplicity, repeatability and flexibility of CUI measurements (Figure 2).

2.2 Construction of CUI Cell

The CUI cell was constructed from two-inch (5.1cm) carbon steel pipe (En3B) with a test sample machined from the same pipe



Figure 2: Schematic showing modified CUI cell.

2.1 Key Modifications and Improvements Added

The modified CUI cell added the following

improvements to the current ASTM Standard Cell: having a nominal length of 8.9cm, wall thickness 0.5cm and exposed specimen surface area of 170cm² as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3: New constructed CUI cell

The test sample was separated from the other pipe sections using spacers made of polytetrafluoroethylene resin. The test assembly was bolted and held together by studs passing through the aluminium plate attached to both ends of the flanged pipe.

Thetesttemperatureatthesamplesurface was achieved with the provision of temperature controller, thermocouplesand immersion heater inserted into the pipe filledwithspecialheatingoil.Themaximum allowable working temperature of the new CUI cell is90°C.

The insulation material used was water- resistant mineral wool insulation. The design safety considerations for construction of the above CUI are documented in the risk assessment report contain in the main work[15].

2.3 Experimental Setup

The test apparatus was the constructed CUI Cell for measurement of corrosion under insulation on the outer surface of carbon steel sample as shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4: Experimental setup of constructed CUI cell

2021

The CUI cell has a water supply bottle containing the aqueous test solution made of tap water with 3.5% NaCl and a pH of 4.5 adjusted using sulphuric acid. Water repellent mineral wool insulation was wrapped around the test sample with adequate sealing to retain supplied solution in order to wet and saturate the insulation material during testing. The electrodes of the CUI cell setup were connected to the potentiostat.

2.4 Test Procedures

Thesurfaceofthetestsamplewaspolished

to 1200 grit finish with silicone paper and degreased with acetone, air dried and followed by measurement of initial sample mass.Thechemicalcomposition(mass%)of testsample(CarbonSteelEn3B)determined by the manufactures is given in Table1.

En3B (UNSG 10200)	Р	S	Mn	Al	Ni	Fe	Cr	Mo	Si	С	Cu
Mass %	0.05	0.05	0.6	_	-	Bal	-	-	0.35	0.25	-

Table 1: Chemical Composition of Carbon Steel

The test rig was assembled; the pipe was filled with the heating oil and wrapped with mineralwoolinsulationsaturated withwater. The standard reference electrode was inserted at the bottomofinsulated pipe and sealed to retain test solution during measurement. Droplets of test solution waters added to insulation to sustain continuous waters aturation.

The electrode terminals were wired to a potentiostat and the CUI cell was aged for 72hrs prior to measurement. After the aging treatment, daily repeated measurement of OCP and corrosion rateare conducted for 5.6hrs each at cyclic temperature of $25\pm2^{\circ}$ C and $60\pm2^{\circ}$ C for 16 days exposure period. The repeated measurements on a fixed sample were to mimic real life CUI situations. The exposed hot surfaces of test rig were covered with insulatingblanket.

At the end of testing, the mineralinsulation was removed for post-testvisual examination of corroded sample surface followed by removal of iron oxides with Clarke's solution and weighing of sample toobtainthefinalmassaftermeasurement. All measured data before and after testing wererecorded.

III. RESULTS ANDDISCUSSION

The open circuit potential measurements and corrosion rate measurements for the test conditions are presented in the next section.

3.0 Open Circuit Potential (OCP) Measurement at25±2°C

The eight repeated OCP measurements versus time at $25\pm2^{\circ}C$ conducted on a fixed carbon steel sample are shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5: OCP Measurements at 25±2°C

www.ajer.org

Figure 5 shows the graphical plot of eight different OCP readings versus time at $25\pm2^{\circ}$ C for the test sample. E1, E3 and E8 indicate unstable OCPs compared to the stable OCP readings of E4, E5, E6 and E7 (-0.511V).

3.1 The Open Circuit Potential (OCP) at60±2^oC

Comparably, the eight repeatedOCP

measurements versus time at 60±2°C conducted on a fixed carbon steel sample are shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6: OCP Measurements at 60±2°C

E8representsamorepositiveOCP(-0.313V) suggesting possible ennoblement or passivation at the metal surface while E2 implies a more negative OCP (-0.645V) indicating activeness and susceptibility to corrosion.

This variation in OCP readings implies possible breakdown or non-uniform formationoftheoxidefilms. This action will promote corrosion in small local areas such as pitting and crevice corrosion.

Figure 6 shows the graphical plot of eight different	OCP	readings	versus	time	at
60±2°C for the test sample. This plot shows significan	t	instability	of	the	
OCP measurements confi	rming			higher	
susceptibility to corrosion activities as comparedtoOC	Pmeasure	mentsat25±2°C.	The init	ial OCP	tests
(E1 to E4) indicate abrupt change in OCPs while the la	st OCP t	ests (E5 to E8) s	how little	e change	in
OCPs tending to stabilizeat -0.564V. A mo	re negativ	ve OCP compare	d to OCI	• at 25±2	2°C
(-0.511V).					

3.2 Corrosion Rate Measurement at 25±2°C

The eight repeated measurement of

corrosion rates versus time at $25\pm2^{\circ}C$ conducted on a fixed carbon steel sample underwettedinsulationmaterialisshownin Figure 7.

The low corrosion rates recorded may be duetoformationofprotectivefilms which is confirmed in this study by the significant oxide layers observed on sample surface aftertesting.





Figure 7 shows the graphical plot of eight different corrosion rate measurements versus time at $25\pm2^{\circ}$ C. This plot indicates infinitesimal corrosion rates having amean valueof4.15E-05mm/yr.Thelowcorrosion ratesasseenongraphwerestable with few corrosion spikes, which

suggest the uniformity and stability of the corrosion processonsampleat25±2°C.Haraetal [12] and Kehler et al [13] confirmed the presence of corrosion spikes to indicate initiation of localized corrosion. The formation of these localized cells may be due to changes in concentration, temperature or breakdown of protective films at local areas.

However, onewould expect to see an initial increase in corrosion rate followed by subsequent reduction due to formation of protective oxide films. This initial corrosion may have occurred during the three days ageing of test sample prior to CUI measurement including other idle times of the test rig thereafter. Another likely contributor to this low corrosion rates is the highpolarization resistance (RP), which may be due to dissolved minute particles of insulation material in the electrolyte. However, no experiment was conducted to confirm this activity.

3.3 Corrosion Rate Measurement at 60±2°C

The eight repeated measurement of

corrosion rates versus time at $60\pm 2^{\circ}$ C conducted on a fixed carbon steel sample underwetted insulation materialis shown in Figure 8.

Or galvanic corrosion. Besides, the numerous corrosion spikes confirm significant loss of protection offered by the protective films involving repeated breakdown and repair events. The recorded low CUI corrosion rate suggests that



Figure 8: Corrosion rate measurement at 60±2°C

This plot also shows low corrosion rates with a mean value of 3.98E-05mm/yr. This value was slightly lower than the mean corrosion rate recorded at $25\pm2^{\circ}\text{C}$. The slight reduction in corrosion rate may be due to evaporation of test solution and the decrease of oxygen concentration with temperature at the metal surface.

Furthermore, the measured corrosion rate at $60\pm2^{\circ}$ C showed predominance of spikes. As mentioned earlier, the presence of corrosion spikes suggestslikely initiation of localized corrosions such as pitting, crevice linear polarisation resistance measurement may not account for these localized corrosion as indicated by corrosion spikes. The substantial presence of corrosion spikes at high temperature agrees with the measured OCP at $60\pm2^{\circ}$ C and also confirms localized corrosion as the controlling corrosion mode for CUI. The sustained life of localized corrosion at higher temperature compared to room temperature is indicated by thereoccurrenceofcorrosionspikes(See Figure8).

Eachspikerepresentsalocalized cellanda group of spikes is expected to generate significant metalloss. These localized cells may be caused by changes in solution concentration and temperature at the metal-solution interface. The other contributing factors to formation of these localized cells may be changes in metal microstructure, presence of inclusions and damaged/uneven formation of the protective oxide layers.

3.4 Mass Loss CorrosionRate Measurement.

The initial recorded mass of test sample was801.5gandthefinalmassaftertesting and cleaning sample with Clarke'ssolution was800.3gwhichnettedto1.2gmassloss for the test sample. The following formula inEquation1isusedtocalculatecorrosion rate from mass loss[14]:

CR(mm/yr)=87.6xW/DxAT 1.0

3.5 Post Test Visual Evaluation

Sequel to the completion of CUI measurements, the surface of the test sample was examined to ascertain the extent of uniform orlocalized corrosion. The mineral wool insulation was removed from the CUI cell and the level of corrosion on test sample is as shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9: Schematic showing CUI on sample after testing

Figure9showsthepresenceofuniformand localized corrosion at the top positions of the test sample. Thick and dark rust stains were observed at crevices created at the sides of sample by insulation and joints betweentheTeflonspacerandtest sample.

Salt deposits were observed at the top part where solution was introduced to wet the insulation. These salt deposits are formed by concentration cells due to solution evaporation or drying at the top portion of the test sample. The considerable formation of iron oxide confirms the reduction in corrosion rates due to these oxide layers. However, experiment to determine the constituent of this protective oxide film was not conducted. The evidence of localized corrosion such as pitting was observed on the test sample after cleaning the corroded surface with Clarke's solution (Figure 10).



Figure10:Schematicshowingpitson corroded sample aftertesting.

The other observations include the sticking of mineral wool insulation to test sample and also the presence of non-corroded areas on the sample surface (Figure 11).



Figure11:Schematicshowingstuck insulation on test sample with non- corroded areas.

The non-corroded areas of samplesurface further confirm the presence of localized cells in CUI. Another likely promoter of these localized cells aside the ones mentioned in Section 3.3-3.4 is the hydrophobic property of mineral wool insulation.

The hydrophobic chemical additives are added by manufacturers to repel water absorption in insulation. However, eventually when there is water ingress, this property tends to break and separate water into large droplets that will enhance formation of localized cells as can be seen in Figure 11 above. No experiment was conducted to confirm thisactivity.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Corrosion under insulation is a hidden and localized form corrosion that is difficult to predict and control which calls for new and/orimprovedmethodsofmeasurement, inspection, prevention and control. In this regard, amodified CUI cellwas constructed and implemented for measurement of CUI. The constructed CUI cellrecorded corrosion rate and Open Circuit Potential

(OCP) for carbon steel insulated with mineral wool. Furthermore, the CUI cell implementation highlightedcertainactivities that will aid the understanding of CUI mechanism. This included the

predominance of corrosion spikes at high temperature $(60\pm2^{\circ}C)$ suggesting susceptibility to localized corrosion, presence of uniform and pitting corrosion observed on the sample surface andthevariationinOCPssuggestingsemi- protective behaviour of the oxide film. The futureapplicationofthenewcellusingzero resistance technique or electrochemical noisetechniquewillenablemeasurementof localized corrosion rate in CUI. The other future works for CUI control using the new cell will include modelling of CUI, selection of insulation materials, development and testing of protective coatings and embedded corrosion inhibitors. The accomplishment of aforementioned future works will result in sound prevention and control measures for CUI in the oil andgas industry.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The contribution and support of Wood Group Integrity Management (WGIM) Company towards the success of this article is gratefully appreciated andacknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Popoola,L.T.,etal., Corrosion problems during oil and gas production and its mitigation. International Journal of Industrial Chemistry, 2013. 4(1): p.35.
- [2]. Garverick, L., Corrosion in the petrochemical industry. 1994: ASM international.
- [3]. Speight, J.G. and B. Ozum, *Petroleum refining processes*. 2001: CRCPress.
- [4]. Ahluwalia, H.S., CUI: An In-Depth Analysis. ASM Handbook, 2006.13.
- [5]. Fruge, D., et al. Corrosion Under Insulation. in The 2008 SpringNational Meeting. 2008.
- [6]. Winnik, S., Corrosion under insulation (CUI) guidelines. 2014:Elsevier.
- [7]. Hanratty, T., Corrosion underinsulation is a hidden problem. Hydrocarbon Processing, 2013. 92(3): p.51-2.
- [8]. Anderson, S.A., Out of Sight, Out of Mind? Hydrocarbon Engineering.,2010.
- [9]. G189, "Standard Guide for Laboratory Simulation of Corrosion Under Insulation", ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA., 2007.
- [10]. Geary, W., Analysis of corrosion under insulation failure in a carbon steel refinery hydrocarbon line. CaseStudies in Engineering Failure Analysis, 2013.
- [11]. Kane, R.D., M. Chauviere, and K. Chustz, Evaluation of Steel and Tsa Coating in A Corrosion UnderInsulation (Cui) Environment, NACEInternational.
- [12]. Hara, N., et al., Improvement of Pitting Corrosion Resistance of Type 316L StainlessSteelbyPotentiostaticRemoval ofSurfaceMnSInclusions. International JournalofCorrosion, 2012.
- [13]. Kehler, B., G. Ilevbare, and J. Scully, *Crevice corrosion stabilization and passivation behaviour of Alloy* 625and Alloy 22. Corrosion, 2001. 57(12): p. 1042-1065.
- [14]. Fontana, M.G., Corrosion engineering. 2005: TataMcGraw-HillEducation.
- [15]. Essien, G.P., Redesign and Construction of Corrosion Cell for Improved Measurement of Corrosion Under Insulation (Unpublished MSc Thesis). University of Leeds, 2014.
- [16]. EC08, Autolab Application Note. "Basic overview of the working principle of a potentiostat/galvanostat(PGSTAT)-Electrochemicalcellsetup." Metrohm Autolab. BV (2011):1-3.