American Journal of Engineering Research (AJER)	2015
American Journal of Engineering	Research (AJER)
e-ISSN: 2320-0847 p-	ISSN: 2320-0936
Volume-4, Issu	ue-12, pp-112-119
	www.ajer.org
Research Paper	Open Access

# **Production and Characterization of Rice Husk Pellet**

Japhet, J. A., Tokan, A., and Muhammad, M. H.

Department of Mechanical/Production Engineering Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, Nigeria

**Abstract:** Production and characterization of rice husk pellet was investigated as an alternative source of energy. Pellets were produced from the rice husk using a mold and a small hydraulic press (fitted with pressure gauge) the pellets were produced without binder, at three (3) pressures of compaction of 28MPa, 31MPa and 34MPa and three (3) particles sizes of 212µm, 300µm and 425µm. The effects of compaction pressure on the properties of pellets were determined. The properties determined were moisture content, ash content, bulk density, porosity index, and the calorific value. The results showed that, the higher the compaction pressure the lower the porosity index and consequently the higher the bulk density. The fuel pellet's density affects its bulk thermal properties. This effect is seen, when 100g of each pellet sample were combusted. Increased burning time of pellets was observed as the bulk density increases. The result also showed that the maximum calorific value of 17.589MJ/kg was achieved with a compaction pressure of 34MPa and with particle size of 425µm. also the minimum calorific value of 15.129MJ/kg was achieved with a compaction pressure of 34MPa and with particle size of 212µm.

Keywords: Ash, Bulk density, Calorific value, Compaction pressure, Moisture, Porosity, Pellet

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Rice husk is one of the largest readily available but most underutilized biomass resources. There are many reported uses of rice husk such as a fuel in brick kilns, in furnaces, in rice mills for parboiling process, in the raw material for the production of furfural, sodium silicate, Briquettes, molecular sieves [1]

Despite having so many well established uses of rice husk, little portion of rice husk produced is utilized in a meaningful way, the remaining part is allowed to burn in open piles or dumped as a solid waste or it is used as cattle feed. Many reasons associated with rice husk for not being utilized effectively as stated by [2] include: (1) lack of awareness of its potential, (2) insufficient information about proper use, (3) penetration of technology, (4) lack of environmental concerns etc.

With the global campaign to combat climate change, countries are now looking for alternative sources of energy to minimize green house gas (GHG) emissions. Aside from being carbon neutral, the use of biomass for energy reduces dependency on the consumption of fossil fuel; hence, contributing to energy security and climate change mitigation [3].

Agricultural wastes have been highly promoted to be used in various heating systems, during the past decades. Compared to fossil fuel, however, most of agricultural wastes have higher moisture content and lower density, thus making them technically unsuitable for direct use due to combustion and handling problems. Conversion of biomass wastes to briquettes (pellets) is a solution for such problems. It improves biomass handling characteristics, increases the volumetric calorific values, and reduces transportation, collection, and storage costs [4] and [5].

The densification of biomass into briquettes, logs, bales, chips, pellets, etc has become an important source of energy even in the rural communities. The main advantage of the Biofuel briquettes are its domestic origin, potential for reducing total dependence on oil and gas economy, jobs creation to the rural dwellers and help in the waste management by changing waste to wealth. Biofuels briquettes for utilization as energy source for domestic and industrial heating processes can significantly reduce emissions of air pollutants [6].

This work is aimed at producing rice husk pellet by varying the compaction pressure. Effect of compaction pressure of biomass materials on physical properties and combustion characteristics were also investigated.

# **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### 2.1. Raw Material Procurement

The rice husk was collected from a rice mill at Yelwa Tudu, it was grinded into a powdered form and sieve through screens to obtain three particle sizes of 212µm 300µm and 425µm.

#### 2.2. Pellet Production

The Rice husk pellet was produced by compaction in a mold with the following dimensions 12mm diameter and 20mm length at three (3) pressures of 28MPa, 31MPa and 34MPa. [4] investigated the optimal compositions of coal, rice husk and palm oil sludge for energy derivation produced at various compression pressures in the range of 20 to 45Mpa. The rice husk powder was first mixed with a little water directly to moisten it before loading in the mold. Water acts as both a binding agent and a lubricant. Water helps develop Vander Waals' forces by increasing the area of contact between particles [5]. The production of the pellet was done using a manually operated hydraulic press fixed with a pressure gauge for varying the pressure and a simple mould. The setup is shown in fig. 1.



Figure 1: Manual hydraulic press fixed with a pressure gauge



Figure 2: The mould use for the production



Figure 3: Pellets produced

2015

#### 2.3 Determination of Bulk Density

Bulk density measurement was determined according to the method of [7] which states that for all densified products (cubes, pellets, or crumbles); use a cylindrical container with a height-diameter ratio within the range of 1.25–1.50. The diameter of the container must be at least ten times larger than the largest dimension of a single product. The bulk density was determined by calculating the ratio of the mass to the volume occupied. A

(1)

cylindrical metal container with 100 mm diameter and 130 mm height was weighed. The bulk density measurement was repeated five times and the average value and range were reported. The bulk density was calculated from the relationship:

Bulk density  $(\boldsymbol{B}_d) = \frac{W_1 - W_0}{V_2}$ Where:

 $W_0 = Mass$  of the container  $W_1 = Mass$  of the sample and the container  $V_2 =$  Volume occupied by the pellet

#### 2.5 Determination of Ash Content

Ash content was determined using the method of [8]. 3 pieces of each pellet samples were placed in a preweighted crucible and were weighted out. The samples were incinerated in a furnace at  $760^{\circ}$ C until complete ashing was achieved. The crucibles were left over night to cool. The cooled samples were then weighed. The ash content was calculated by using equation (2):

Ash content (%) = 
$$\frac{(W_5 - W_3) \times 100\%}{W_4 - W_3}$$
 (2)

Where:

 $W_3 =$  Weight of the crucible,

 $W_4$  = Weight of the crucible + sample before incineration and

 $W_5$  = Weight of the crucible + sample after incineration

#### 2.6 Determination of Moisture Content

The moisture content of each pellet sample was determined by the oven drying method. This was carried out at temperature of  $103 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C in accordance with the method of [9]. The samples were dried in the oven for 1 hour. The moisture content was calculated by using equation (3):

$$Moisture \ content \ (\%) = \frac{\left[ (W_7 - W_6) - (W_8 - W_6) \right] \times 100\%}{W_7 - W_6} \tag{3}$$

Where:

 $W_{6}$  = wt of empty container  $W_7 = wt$  of container + sample before drying  $W_8 = wt$  of container + sample after drying

#### 2.7 Determination of Porosity Index

The porosity of each pellet sample was determined based on the amount of water two pieces of each pellet sample was able to absorb. Each pellet sample was immersed in water at room temperature for 30s. The porosity index was calculated as the ratio of the mass of water absorbed to the mass of the sample immersed in the water [10]. The test was replicated five times and the average value recorded.

Porosity index 
$$(P_i) = \frac{(W_{10} - W_9) \times 100}{W_9}$$
 (4)

Where:  $W_9 = mass of sample$  $W_{10}$  = mass of sample immersed in water  $(W_{10} - W_9) = mass of water absorbed$ 

#### 2.8 Determination of Calorific Value

The net calorific values of the 12 samples were determined by using the relationship below of [11]

www.ajer.org

2015

NCV = 18.7(1.0 - AC - MC) - (2.5MC)

Where

3.0

Results

NCV = net (lower) calorific value AC = ash content MC = moisture content

#### 2.9 Determination of Burning Rate

Burning rate is the ratio of the mass of the fuel burnt (in grams) to the total time taken (in minutes) [12].

$$Burning \, rate(B_R) = \frac{mass \, of \, fuel \, consumed(g)}{total \, time \, taken(\min)} \tag{6}$$

100g of each pellet samples were weighed using an electronic weighing machine. Each sample at the time of burning was put in a domestic stove. The combustion was initiated by the addition of a little kerosene and igniting with matches. The temperature of the burning samples was taken by means of thermocouple at every two minute intervals using a stop watch until it was completely burnt. The temperature was taking from a particular point on the stove for all the samples.

#### III RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the experiments carried out on the properties of the rice husk pellet are presented on table 1 to Table 1: The description of pellet samples

Samples	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>4</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>5</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>6</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>7</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>8</sub>	<b>P</b> 9	
Particles size (	μm)	425	425	425	300	300	300	212	212	212
Compaction ( <b>MPa</b> )	pressure	28	31	34	28	31	34	28	31	34

	Tab	ole 2: The r	esult of b	ulk densit	y of pellet	samples			
	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>4</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>7</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>8</sub>	P9
Mass of container, W <sub>0</sub> (kg)	.0736	.0736	.0736	.0736	.0736	.0736	.0736	.0736	.0736
Mass of sample $+$ container, $W_1$ (kg)	.5979	.6300	.6407	.8050	.8143	.8236	.8443	.8650	.8665
Range (kg)	.0029	.0033	.0028	.0026	.0022	.0021	.0044	.0026	.0022
Volume occupied, V <sub>2</sub> (m <sup>3</sup> )	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001
Bulk density, B <sub>d</sub> (kg/m <sup>3)</sup>	524.3	556.4	567.1	731.4	740.7	750.0	770.7	791.4	792.9

	Table 3: The	result of	f ash con	tent of p	ellet sam	nples			
	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>4</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>7</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>8</sub>	<b>P</b> 9
Weight of crucible, W <sub>3</sub> (g)	61.9	51.2	63.8	62.4	96.6	110.2	66.3	90.3	114.9
Weight of crucible + before incineration $W_4\left(g\right)$	67.8	56.9	69.2	69.8	102.6	115.9	72.3	96.7	120.2
Weight of crucible + after incineration $W_{-}(q)$	62.4	51.5	64.0	63.0	97.4	110.8	67.2	91.2	115.6
Ash content (%)	8.5	6.0	3.7	10.5	13.3	10.5	15.0	14.0	13.2

2015

(5)

2015

Table 4: The results of moisture content of pellet and charcoal samples												
$P_1  P_2  P_3  P_4  P_5  P_6  P_7  P_8  P_9$												
wt of empty container W <sub>6</sub> (g)	24.1	24.0	23.9	24.0	23.7	24.7	24.1	23.8	24.0			
wt of container + sample before drying $W_7(g)$	34.3	34.1	33.8	35.7	34.2	34.2	34.5	34.5	33.6			
wt of container + sample after drying $W_8(g)$	33.8	33.8	33.6	35.4	33.9	33.9	34.2	34.1	33.1			
Moisture content %	4.9	3.0	2.0	2.6	2.9	3.2	2.9	3.7	5.2			

Table 5: The results of porosity index of pellet samples											
	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbf{P}_2$	<b>P</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>4</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>7</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>8</sub>	P9		
Mass of sample W <sub>9</sub> (g)	4.7	4.7	4.8	5.1	4.3	4.2	4.8	5.1	4.9		
Mass of sample immersed in water W <sub>10</sub> (g) Mass of water absorbed	9.3	9.2	9.3	7.3	5.8	5.6	5.5	5.8	5.5		
$(W_{10} - W_9)$ (g)	4.6	4.5	4.5	2.2	1.5	1.4	0.7	0.7	0.6		
Porosity Index %	97.9	95.6	93.8	43.1	34.9	33.3	14.6	13.7	12.2		

Table 6: result of net calorific value of pellet samples											
Sample	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	$P_2$	<b>P</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>4</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>5</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>7</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>9</sub>		
NCV	16.072	16.942	17.584	16.185	15.598	16.058	15.281	15.292	15.129		
unit	MJ/kg	MJ/kg	MJ/kg	MJ/kg	MJ/kg	MJ/kg	MJ/kg	MJ/kg	MJ/kg		

Table 7: The result of	proximate analyses	for pellet samples

Sample	Moisture content %	Ash content %	Bulkdensity kg/m <sup>3</sup>	Porosity index %	Calorific value MJ/kg	Burning rate (g/min)
<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	4.9	8.5	524.3	97.9	16.072	2.38
<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	3.0	6.0	556.4	95.6	16.942	2.27
<b>P</b> <sub>3</sub>	2.0	3.7	567.1	93.8	17.584	2.27
<b>P</b> <sub>4</sub>	2.6	10.5	731.4	43.1	16.185	1.79
<b>P</b> <sub>5</sub>	2.9	13.3	740.7	34.9	15.598	1.47
P <sub>6</sub>	3.2	10.5	750.0	33.3	16.058	2.17
<b>P</b> <sub>7</sub>	2.9	15.0	770.7	14.6	15.281	2.17
<b>P</b> <sub>8</sub>	3.7	14.0	791.4	13.7	15.292	2.00
<b>P</b> 9	5.2	13.2	792.9	12.2	15.129	1.85

		Table 8: Results of time and temperature for burning 100g of fuel												
Time (min)	P <sub>1</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>4</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>5</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>6</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>7</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>8</sub>	<b>P</b> 9					
0	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26					
2	44	47	52	54	32	38	38	31	30					
4	95	91	161	84	46	68	60	57	39					
6	128	108	180	89	54	94	83	90	66					
8	160	115	189	78	59	132	106	97	95					
10	147	123	217	80	67	145	108	101	103					

www.ajer.org

Americ	an Joi	ırnal o	f Engi	neering	Resea	rch (AJ	IER)			2015
12	129	124	167	79	77	136	122	112	112	_
14	112	107	130	73	94	118	148	119	131	
16	97	97	100	73	104	104	154	122	136	
18	88	89	81	76	97	92	148	113	122	
20	77	82	76	83	72	90	126	104	102	
22	73	77	69	100	54	79	113	90	85	
24	68	71	59	101	46	74	103	73	72	
26	62	66	57	89	42	70	92	67	63	
28	56	58	49	78	44	60	82	60	59	
30	50	56	49	71	46	54	69	54	54	
32	46	51	47	60	50	49	63	48	54	
34	43	47	39	51	50	46	44	46	55	
36	38	42	39	48	48	39	42	44	50	
38	35	37	35	45	48	38	38	39	47	
40	33	35	33	46	51	36	36	38	44	
42	31	33	32	42	51	34	34	36	38	
44		32	31	51	50	32	32	35	37	
46				37	50	31	30	34	35	
48				37	43			32	34	
50				36	42			31	34	
52				35	43				33	
54				33	42				32	
56				32	38					
58					36					
60					37					
62					37					
64					36					
66					33					
68					32					
70										
										_

Table 9: Result for burning rate of pellet samples												
	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbf{P}_2$	<b>P</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>4</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>5</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>6</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>7</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>8</sub>	<b>P</b> 9			
Mass of fuel (g)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100			
Total time taken (min)	42	44	44	56	68	46	46	50	54			
Burning rate (g/min)	2.38	2.27	2.27	1.79	1.47	2.17	2.17	2.00	1.85			

#### 4.1 Bulk Density

#### **IV DISCUSSIONS**

It was observed from the results of tables 1 and 2 that the bulk density of the rice husk pellet was influence by the compaction pressure. As the compaction pressure increases from  $P_1$  to  $P_3$ ,  $P_4$  to  $P_6$  and  $P_7$  to  $P_9$ , the bulk density also increase. The fuel pellet's density affects its bulk thermal properties. The lower the density, the less heat is required for a specific volume of fuel to reach the ignition temperature. This was in line with the observation of [13]. This was seen on the burning rate of the different samples, for instant pellet  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  and  $P_3$  recorded slightly higher temperatures within few minute of combustion, while  $P_6$ ,  $P_7$ ,  $P_8$ , and  $P_9$  recorded slightly lower temperature within the same time range. And again, while pellet  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  and  $P_3$  maintained high temperatures for a relatively short period,  $P_6$ ,  $P_7$ ,  $P_8$ , and  $P_9$  maintained high temperature for a relatively longer period as shown on Table 8. The deviation of pellet sample  $P_4$  and  $P_5$ , may be attributed to prevailing atmospheric wind condition at the time of the combustion.

#### 4.2 Porosity index

The porosity, which is the measure of the resistance to water penetration, is an important physical property in the determination of the quality of the pellet fuel: The lower the porosity, the higher the resistance to water penetration. In his finding, [14] observed that the higher the percentage resistance to water penetration of briquette, their best shatter and durability indices showed that they have good shock and impact resistance and are good for handling and transportation.

The investigations reveal from tables 1 and 5 that pellet porosities are influenced by compaction pressure. The less the compaction pressure the high porosity and the lesser the porosity the higher the bulk density. The lowest density was 524.3 kg/m<sup>3</sup> at a pressure of 28MPa, while the highest was 792.9 kg/m<sup>3</sup> at a pressure of 34MPa. The lower porosities will hinder mass transfer, such as drying, devolatilization and char burning processes, due to fewer free spaces for mass diffusion (e.g. water vapor, volatile matter, and carbon dioxide outflows and simultaneously oxygen infiltration). Consequently its combustion rates were lowered, given rise to longer period of combustion as observed by [15]. This condition was observed for pellet samples P<sub>1</sub> to P<sub>3</sub> and P<sub>7</sub> to P<sub>9</sub> as shown on Tables 8 and 9. For samples P<sub>4</sub> to P<sub>6</sub>, the deviation show that there are other factors that may affect the combustion rate. Further investigation may be carried out to ascertain this.

#### 4.3 Calorific value

Significant differences in heating values were found among pellet samples produced. From the result shown in Table 6 and table 1, the maximum calorific value of 17.589MJ/kg was achieved with a compaction pressure of 34MPa and with particle size of 425 $\mu$ m. also the minimum calorific value of 15.129MJ/kg was achieved with a compaction pressure of 34MPa and with particle size of 212 $\mu$ m. From the result of table 4, as the moisture content increases the heating value reduces. This was observed for instance P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>3</sub>, with moisture content 4.9%, 3%, and 2% and heating value 16.072MJ/kg, 16.942MJ/kg and 17.584MJ/kg respectively. Higher moisture content implies a lower calorific value as each unit mass of fuel contains less oven dry biomass – which is the part of the fuel that actually undergoes combustion to release heat (The Carbon Trust, 2008). The ash content also affects the heating value. From table 3, it was observed that P<sub>5</sub> and P<sub>7</sub> have the same moisture content of 2.9, ash content of 13.3% and 15% and calorific value of 15.598MJ/kg and 15.281MJ/kg respectively. This was in line with the observation of [13], the higher the fuel's ash content, the lower the calorific value. [16] and [17] also observed that the presence of high mineral matter components in wood is not desirable, because they are not degraded during carbonization and they remain in charcoal as ash, which also contributes to the reduction of charcoal heating value.

### V CONCLUSION

Energy demand, most especially in the developing nations can be augmented by the use of waste biomass, a renewable energy source which is available in abundance. Ineffective utilization of the biomass residues constitutes environmental hazard and pollution and also emits strong irritating smell due to microbial decomposition activities at dump sites. This calls for production and characterization of rice husk for energy production. This study has shown that compaction pressure has influence on the physical and thermal properties of the fuel. Although the moisture and ash contents affects the calorific value of the fuel, the net calorific value for the pellet samples  $P_1$  to  $P_9$  are high to produce enough heat required for domestic household cooking and small-scale industrial cottage applications.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. Tejinder Singh (2000). The Tribune, Online Edition, Monday, November, 13, 2000, Chandigarh, India.
- [2]. Gidde, M.R. and Jivani, A.P. (2007): Waste to Wealth- Potential of rice husk in India a literature Review: Proceedings of the International Conference on Cleaner Technologies and Environmental Management PEC, Pondicherry. India. January 4-6 2007, Pp 586-590.
- [3]. United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP), (2009): Converting Waste Agricultural Biomass into a Resource. Compendium of Technologies. Division of Technology, Industry and Economics International Environmental Technology Centre Osaka/Shiga, Japan. Pp. 6
- [4]. Markson, I.E., Akpan, W.A. and Ufot, E., (2013): Determination of Combustion Characteristics of Compressed Pulverized Coal-Rice Husk Briquettes; *International Journal of Applied Science and Technology, Vol. 3 No. 2, Pp 61 64*
- [5]. Grover P.D. and Mishra S.K. (1996): Biomass Briquetting Technology and Practice. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Bangkok. Regional Wood Energy Development Program (RWEDP).
- [6]. Fabian M. (2003): An introduction to anaerobic digestion of organic wastes. Scotland Remade.
- [7]. ASABE Standards (2012) Densified products for bulk handling—definitions and method. ASABE S269.5. In: ASABE Standards 2012, American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers, St. Joseph, MI, p 1–8
- [8]. American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) (1998): D2017 98 Standard Test Method of Accelerated Laboratory Test of Natural Decay Resistance of Woods, decay, evaluation, laboratory, natural, resistance and subjected to termite bioassay according to no-choice test procedure based upon AWPA E1-97 (AWPA, 1998) and ASTM D 3345-74 (ASTM, 1998c) standard: pp 111 – 175

2015

- [9]. American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) (1991): Standard Methods of Evaluating the Fibre and Panel Materials. ASTM D 1037 - 91. Annual book of ASTM Standards, 04.09 Wood, Philadelphia, PA. pp. 169 – 191. Properties of Wood-Based
- [10]. Montgomery, W.F., (1978). Standard Laboratory Test Methods for Coal and Coke in Analytical Methods for Coal and Coal Products, Academic Press, New York, pp. 194-224.
- [11]. Omoniyi, T.E. and Olorunnisola A.O., (2014): Experimental Characterisation of Bagasse Biomass Material for Energy Production; International Journal of Engineering and Technology Volume 4 No. 10, Pp 582 - 589
- [12]. Onuegbu T.U., Ekpunobi U.E., Ogbu I.M., Ekeoma M.O. and Obumselu F.O. (2011): Comparative Studies Of Ignition Time And Water Boiling Test Of Coal And Biomass Briquettes Blend (www.arpapress.com) IJRRAS 7 (2)
- [13]. Loo S. V. and Koppejan J., (2008): The Handbook of Biomass Combustion and Co-firing. Earth scan, London
- [14]. Sengar S. H., Mohod A. G., Khandetod Y. P., Patil S. S. and Chandake A. D., (2012): Performance of Briquetting Machine for Briquette fuel; International Journal of Energy Engineering, 2 (1): 28 -34
- [15]. Joseph O. A., Francis K., and Stephen J. M., (2012): "Physico-chemical characteristics and market Potential of sawdust charcoal briquette" Akowuah et al. International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering, 3:20
- [16]. The Carbon Trust (2008): Biomass Heating; A Practical Guide for Potential Users <u>www.carbontrust.co.uk</u>
- [17]. Tsoumis, G., (1991): Science and Technology of Wood: Structure, Properties, Utilization, Van Nostr and Rein old, New York, NY, USA.