

Some Fixed Point and Common Fixed Point Theorems for Rational Inequality in Hilbert Space

Rajesh Shrivastava¹, Neha Jain², K. Qureshi³

¹Deptt. of Mathematics, Govt. Science and comm. College Benazir Bhopal (M.P) India

²Research Scholar, Govt. Science and comm. College Benazir Bhopal (M.P) India

³Additional Director, Higher Education Dept. Govt. of M.P., Bhopal (M.P) India

Abstract :- There are several theorems are prove in Hilbert space, using various type of mappings . In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorem and common fixed point . Theorems, in Hilbert space using different, symmetric rational mappings . The object of this paper is to obtain a common unique fixed point theorem for four continuous mappings defined on a non-empty closed subset of a Hilbert space .

Keywords :- Fixed point , Common Fixed point , Hilbert space , rational inequality , continuous mapping .

I. Introduction

The study of properties and applications of fixed points of various types of contractive mapping in Hilbert and Banach spaces were obtained among others by Browder [1] ,Browder and Petryshyn [2,3] , Hicks and Huffman [5] ,Huffman [6] , Koparde and Waghmode [7] . In this paper we present some fixed point and common fixed point theorems for rational inequality involving self mappings . For the purpose of obtaining the fixed point of the four continuous mappings . we have constructed a sequence and have shown its convergence to the fixed point .

II. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1 :-

Let E, F and T be for continuous self mappings of a closed subset C of a Hilbert space H satisfying conditions :

$$1c_1 : E(H) \subset T(H) \text{ and } F(H) \subset T(H)$$

$$ET = TE , FT = TF$$

$$1c_2 : \left\| Ex - Fy \right\| \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\left\| Tx - Ty \right\| \left\{ \left\| Tx - Ex \right\| + \left\| Ty - Fy \right\| \right\}}{\left\| Tx - Fy \right\| + \left\| Ty - Ex \right\|} \right] \\ + \beta \left[\left\| Tx - Ex \right\| + \left\| Ty - Fy \right\| \right] \\ + \gamma \left[\left\| Tx - Fy \right\| + \left\| Ty - Ex \right\| \right] + \delta \left\| Tx - Ty \right\|$$

For all $x, y \in C$ with $Tx \neq Ty$, where non negative $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ such that $0 \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1$. Then E, F, T have unique common fixed point .

Proof :- Let $x_0 \in C$, Since $E(H) \subset T(H)$ we can choose a point

$x_1 \in C$, such that $Tx_1 = Ex_0$, also $F(H) \subset T(H)$, we can choose $x_2 \in C$ such that In general we can choose the point :

$$Tx_{2n+1} = Ex_{2n} \dots\dots\dots(1.1)$$

$$Tx_{2n+2} = Fx_{2n+2} \dots\dots\dots(1.2)$$

Now consider

$$\|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\| = \|Ex_{2n} - Fx_{2n+1}\|$$

From 1c₂

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx_{2n} - Fx_{2n+1}\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| \{ \|Tx_{2n} - Ex_{2n}\| + \|Tx_{2n+1} - Fx_{2n+1}\| \}}{\|Tx_{2n} - Fx_{2n+1}\| + \|Tx_{2n+1} - Ex_{2n}\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|Tx_{2n} - Ex_{2n}\| + \|Tx_{2n+1} - Fx_{2n+1}\|] \\ &\quad + \gamma [\|Tx_{2n} - Fx_{2n+1}\| + \|Tx_{2n+1} - Ex_{2n}\|] + \delta \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| \\ \|Tx_{2n+1} - Fx_{2n+2}\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| \{ \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| + \|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\| \}}{\|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+2}\| + \|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n}\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| + \|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\|] \\ &\quad + \gamma [\|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+2}\| + \|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+1}\|] + \delta \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| \\ \|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+1}\| &\leq \left[\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right] \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| \\ \|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+1}\| &\leq q \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| \end{aligned}$$

where

$$q = \left[\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right] < 1;$$

For n= 1,2,3,

Whether, $\|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\| = 0$ or not

Similarly, we have

$$\|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\| \leq q^n \cdot \|Tx_0 - Tx_1\|$$

For every positive integer n, this means that,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|Tx_{2i+1} - Tx_{2i+2}\| \leq \infty$$

The sequence $(T^n x_0)_{n \in N}$ converges to same u in C , so by (1.1) & (1.2):

$\{E^n x_0\}_{n \in N}$ and $\{F^n x_0\}_{n \in N}$ also converges to the some point u , respectively.

Since E, F, T are continuous, there is a subsequence t of $\{F^n x_0\}_{n \in N}$ such that:

$$E [T (t)] \rightarrow E (u), T [E (t)] \rightarrow T (u), F [T (t)] \rightarrow F (u), T [F (t)] \rightarrow T (u)$$

By (1c₁) we have, $E (u) = F (u) = T (u)$ (1.3)

Thus, we can write

$$T (Tu) = T (Eu) = E (Tu) = E (Eu) = E (Fu) = T (Fu) = F (Tu) = F (Eu) = F (Fu)(1.4)$$

By (1c₂), (1.3) and (1.4) we have, if $E (u) \neq F (Eu)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Eu - F(Eu)\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Tu - T(Eu)\| [\|Tu - Eu\| + \|T(Eu) - F(Eu)\|]}{\|Tu - F(Eu)\| + \|T(Eu) - Eu\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|Tu - Eu\| + \|T(Eu) - F(Eu)\|] \\ &\quad + \gamma [\|Tu - F(Eu)\| + \|T(Eu) - Eu\|] + \delta \|Tu - T(Eu)\| \\ \|Eu - F(Eu)\| &\leq (\beta + \gamma + \delta) \|Eu - F(Eu)\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get a contraction ,

Hence $Eu = F(Eu)$ (1.5)

From (1.4) and (1.5) we have

$$Eu = F(Eu) = T(Eu) = E(Eu)$$

Hence Eu is a common fixed point of E, F and T .

Uniqueness :-

Let v is another fixed point of E, F and T different u ,

Then by (1c₂) we have

$$\|u - v\| = \|Eu - Fv\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Eu - Fv\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Tu - Tv\| [\|Tu - Eu\| + \|Tv - Fv\|]}{\|Tu - Fv\| + \|Tv - Eu\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|Tu - Eu\| + \|Tv - Fv\|] + \gamma [\|Tu - Fv\| + \|Tv - Eu\|] + \delta \|Tu - Tv\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\|u - v\| \leq (2\gamma + \delta) \|u - v\|$$

Which is a contradiction .

Therefore u is a unique fixed point of E, F & T in C .

Hence Proved

Theorem 2 :-

Let E, F and T be for continuous self mappings of a closed subset C of a Hilbert space H satisfying the following condition :

$$2c_1 : E(H) \subset T(H) \quad \& \quad F(H) \subset T(H)$$

$$ET = TE, \quad FT = TF$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_2 : \|E^r x - F^s y\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Tx - Ty\| [\|Tx - E^r x\| + \|Ty - Fy\|]}{\|Tx - F^s y\| + \|Ty - E^r x\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|Tx - E^r x\| + \|Ty - F^s y\|] + \gamma [\|Tx - F^s y\| + \|Ty - E^r x\|] \\ &\quad + \delta \|Tx - Ty\| \end{aligned}$$

For all x, y in C , where non negative $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ such that

$$0 \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1 \quad \text{with} \quad Tx \neq Ty, \quad \text{If some positive integers } r, s \text{ exists}$$

Such that E^r, F^s & T are continuous .

Then E, F, T have unique common fixed point.

Proof :- we have

$$E(H) \subset T(H) \quad \& \quad F(H) \subset T(H)$$

$$ET = TE \quad , \quad FT = TF$$

It follows that :

$$E^r(H) \subset T(H) \quad \& \quad F^s(H) \subset T(H)$$

$$E^r T = T E^r \quad , \quad F^s T = T F^s$$

By theorem (1), there is a unique fixed point in C such that ,

$$u = Tu = E^r u = F^s u \quad \dots\dots\dots(2.1)$$

i.e u is the unique fixed point of T, E^r & F^s .

Now $T(Eu) = E(Tu) = Eu = E(E^r u) = E^r(Eu) \quad \dots\dots\dots(2.2)$

and $T(Fu) = F(Tu) = Fu = F(F^s u) = F^s(Fu) \quad \dots\dots\dots(2.3)$

Hence it follows that Eu is a common fixed point of E^r & T , similarly Fu is a common fixed point of T & F^s in X . The uniqueness of u from (2.1), (2.2) & (2.3),

Implies that : u = Eu = Fu = Tu

This complete the proof of the theorem .

Remark :-

- (i) If r = s = 1 the we get theorem 1 .
.....X.....

Theorem 3 :-

Let A, B, S & T be continuous self mappings of a closed subset C of a Hilbert Space H satisfying the following condition :

$$3c_1 : A(H) \subseteq T(H) \quad \& \quad B(H) \subseteq T(H)$$

$$AS = SA \quad , \quad BT = TB$$

$$3c_2 : \|Ax - By\| \leq \alpha \|Sx - Ty\| + \beta_{\max} [\|Sx - Ax\|, \|Ty - By\|, \|Sx - By\|, \|Ty - Ax\|]$$

For all x, y in C with Tx ≠ Ty , where non negative such that 0 ≤ α + β < 1 ;

Then A, B, S, T have unique common fixed point in C .

Proof :- Let x₀ be an arbitrary point of C , since A(H) ⊆ T(H) we

can choose the point x₁ & y₀ in C such that ,

$$Ax_0 = Tx_1 = y_0$$

Also B(H) ⊆ S(H) , we can choose the point x₂ & y₁ in C such that

$$Bx_1 = Sx_2 = y_1$$

In general we can choose the points

$$Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} = y_{2n} \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.1)$$

$$\text{and} \quad Sx_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1} = y_{2n+1} \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.2)$$

For all n = 0, 1, 2, 3,

Now consider ,

$$\|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}\| = \|Ax_{2n} - Bx_{2n+1}\|$$

From 3c₂ :

$$\|Ax_{2n} - Bx_{2n+1}\| \leq \alpha \|Sx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| + \beta_{\max} [\|Sx_{2n} - Ax_{2n}\|, \|Tx_{2n+1} - Bx_{2n+1}\|, \|Sx_{2n} - Bx_{2n+1}\|, \|Tx_{2n+1} - Ax_{2n}\|]$$

$$\|y_n - y_{2n+1}\| \leq \alpha \|y_{2n-1} - y_{2n}\| + \beta_{\max} [\|y_{2n} - y_{2n-1}\|, \|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n-1}\|, \|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}\|] \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.3)$$

There arise three cases ,

Case 1:- If we take max is $\|y_{2n-1} - y_{2n}\|$, then (3.3) gives ,

$$\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}\| \leq (\alpha + \beta) \|y_{2n-1} - y_{2n}\|$$

Case 2 :- If we take max is $\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}\|$, then (3.3) gives ,

$$\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta} \|y_{2n-1} - y_{2n}\|$$

Case 3 :- If we take max is $\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n-1}\|$, then (3.3) gives ,

$$\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}\| \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \beta} \|y_{2n-1} - y_{2n}\|$$

From the above cases 1,2,3 we observe that ,

$$\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}\| \leq q \|y_{2n-1} - y_{2n}\|$$

where $q = \max \left[(\alpha + \beta), \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta}, \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \beta} \right] < 1$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Similarly we have ,

$$\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}\| \leq q^n \|y_0 - y_1\|$$

For every positive integer n , this means that ,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|y_{2i+1} - y_{2i}\| < \infty$$

Thus the completeness of the space implies that the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to the some point u in C , so

by (3.1) & (3.2) the sequence

$\{A^n x_0\}, \{B^n x_0\}, \{S^n x_0\}, \{T^n x_0\}$ also converges to the some points u respectively ;

Since A, B, S, T are continuous , this implies

$$Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} = y_{2n} \rightarrow u \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$Sx_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1} = y_{2n+1} \rightarrow u \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

The pair (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible which gives that , u is a common fixed point of A, B, S & T .

Uniqueness :-

Let as assume that w is another fixed point of A, B, S & T different from u , i.e. $u \neq w$ then

$$Tu = Au = u \quad \& \quad Sw = Bw = w$$

From 3c₂ we have ,

$$\|u - w\| < (\alpha + \beta) \|u - w\|$$

which contradiction .

Hence u is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S, T in C .

This complete the proof of the theorem.

.....X.....

Theorem 4 :-

Let E, F & T be for continuous self mappings of a closed subset C of a Hilbert Space H satisfying the following condition

$$4c_1 : E(H) \subset T(H) \quad \& \quad F(H) \subset T(H)$$

$$ET = TE \quad , \quad FT = TF$$

$$4c_2 : \left\{ \|Ex - Fy\| \right\}^2 \leq \alpha \|Tx - Ex\| \|Ty - Fy\| + \beta \|Tx - Fy\| \|Ty - Ex\|$$

$$+ \gamma \|Tx - Ex\| \|Ex - Ty\| + \delta \|Tx - Ty\| \|Ty - Fy\|$$

For all x, y in C , where non negative $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ such that $0 \leq \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1$, with $Tx \neq Ty$ then E, F, T have unique common fixed point.

PROOF :-

Let $x_0 \in C$, Since $E(H) \subset T(H)$ we can choose a point $x_1 \in C$,
 Such that $Tx_1 = Ex_0$, also $F(H) \subset T(H)$, we can choose $x_2 \in C$ such that
 $Tx_2 = Fx_1$.

In general we can choose the point :

$$Tx_{2n+1} = Ex_{2n} \dots\dots\dots(4.1)$$

$$Tx_{2n+2} = Fx_{2n+1} \dots\dots\dots(4.2)$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\|^2 &= \|Ex_{2n} - Fx_{2n+1}\|^2 \\ \|Ex_{2n} - Fx_{2n+1}\|^2 &\leq \alpha \|Tx_{2n} - Ex_{2n}\| \|Tx_{2n+1} - Fx_{2n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \beta \|Tx_{2n} - Fx_{2n}\| \|Tx_{2n+1} - Ex_{2n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Tx_{2n} - Ex_{2n}\| \|Ex_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \delta \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| \|Tx_{2n+1} - Fx_{2n+1}\| \\ \|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\|^2 &\leq \alpha \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| \|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\| \\ &\quad + \beta \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+2}\| \|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| \|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \delta \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\| \|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\| \leq (\alpha + \delta) \|Tx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\|$$

For $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Whether $\|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\| = 0$ or not

Similarly we have

$$\|Tx_{2n+1} - Tx_{2n+2}\| \leq (\alpha + \delta)^n \|Tx_0 - Tx_1\|$$

For every positive integer n , this means that ,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|Tx_{2i+1} - Tx_{2i+2}\| < \infty$$

The sequence $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some u by (4.1) & (4.2).

$\{E^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{F^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ also converges to the some point respectively.

Since E, F, T are continuous, this is a subsequence t of $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that ,

$$E [T (t)] \rightarrow E (u) \quad , \quad T [E (t)] \rightarrow T (u)$$

$$F [T (t)] \rightarrow F (u) \quad , \quad T [F (t)] \rightarrow T (u)$$

By (4c₁) we have ,

$$E(u) = F(u) = T(u) \tag{4.3}$$

$$\text{thus, } T(Tu) = T(Eu) = E(Tu) = E(Eu) = E(Fu) = T(Fu) = F(Tu) = F(Eu) = F(Fu) \tag{4.4}$$

by $4c_2$, (4.3) & (4.4) we have

$$E(u) \neq F(Eu)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Eu - F(Eu)\|^2 &\leq \alpha \|Tu - Eu\| \|T(Eu) - F(Eu)\| \\ &\quad + \beta \|Tu - F(Eu)\| \|T(Eu) - Eu\| + \gamma \|Tu - Eu\| \|Eu - T(Eu)\| \\ &\quad + \delta \|Tu - T(Eu)\| \|Tu - F(Eu)\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\|Eu - F(Eu)\| \leq 0$$

thus we get a contradiction .

$$\text{Hence } Eu = F(Eu) \tag{4.5}$$

From (4.4) & (4.5) we have

$$Eu = F(Eu) = T(Eu) = E(Eu)$$

Hence Eu is a common fixed point of E, F & T .

Uniqueness :-

Let v is another fixed point of E, F & T different from then by $1c_2$ we have ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v\|^2 &= \|Eu - Fv\|^2 \\ \|Eu - Fv\|^2 &\leq \alpha \|Tu - Eu\| \|Tv - Fv\| + \beta \|Tu - Fv\| \|Tv - Eu\| \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Tu - Eu\| \|Eu - Tv\| + \delta \|Tu - Tv\| \|Tv - Fv\| \\ \|u - v\| &\leq \beta \|u - v\|, \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction.

Therefore u is unique fixed point of E, F & T .

Hence Proved

REFERENCES

- [1] Browder , F.E. – Fixed point theorem for non-linear semi- contractive mappings in Banach spaces .Arch. Rat. Nech. Anal.,21:259-269(1965/66).
- [2] Browder , F.E. and Petryshyn , W.V. the solution by Iteration of non linear functional equations in Banach spaces , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 72: 571-576(1966) .
- [3] Browder , F.E. and Petryshyn , W.V. Contraction of Fixed points of non-linear mapping in Hilbert spaces . J. Math. Nal. Appl., 20 :197-228 (1967).
- [4] Fisher, B. Common fixed point and constant mappings satisfying a rational inequality , Math. Sem. Kobe Univ. ,6: 29-35(1978).
- [5] Hicks T.L. and Huffman Ed. W. Fixed point theorem of generalized Hilbert space . J. Math. Nal. Appl., 64 : 381-385 (1978).
- [6] Huffman Ed. W. Strict convexity in locally convex spaces and fixed point theorems in generalized Hilbert spaces. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Missouri – Rolla, Missouri (1977).
- [7] Koparde, P.V. & Waghmode, D.B.,Kanan type mappings in Hilbert spaces , Scientist of Physical sciences, 3(1) : 45-50 (1991).
- [8] Pagey , S.S., shrivastava Shalu and Nair Smita , common fixed point theorem for rational Inequality in a quasi 2-metric space. Jour. Pure. Math. ,22 : 99-104 (2005).